Volume 5

Pages 572 - 752

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable William H. Orrick, Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.) NO. CR 15-582 WHO

Adam Shafi,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California Tuesday, September 4, 2018

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: United States Attorney's Office

450 Golden Gate Avenue

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: S. WAQAR HASIB, ESQ.

ELISE LAPUNZINA, ESQ.

For Defendant: Office of the Federal Public Defender

1301 Clay Street, Suite 1350N

Oakland, CA 94612

BY: HANNI M. FAKHOURY, ESQ.

JEROME E. MATTHEWS, ESQ.

Reported By: Vicki Eastvold, RMR, CRR

Official Reporter

I N D E X

Tuesday, September 4, 2018 - Volume 5

PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS	PAGE	VOL.
<u>VIDINO, LORENZO</u> (SWORN)	582	5
Direct Examination by Ms. LaPunzina	582	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Fakhoury	621	
DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	<u>PAGE</u>	<u>vol.</u>
KEENAN, MATTHEW		_
(SWORN)	649	_
Direct Examination by Mr. Matthews	649	
Cross-Examination by Ms. LaPunzina	655	5
SHAFI, NOAH		
(SWORN)	657	5
Direct Examination by Mr. Matthews	657	5
Cross-Examination by Ms. LaPunzina	665	5
LARSEN, MADELINE		
(SWORN)	668	5
Direct Examination by Mr. Matthews	668	5
Cross-Examination by Ms. LaPunzina	691	5
Redirect Examination by Mr. Matthews	699	5
SAGEMAN, MARC		
(SWORN)	700	_
Direct Examination by Mr. Fakhoury	700	5

EXHIBITS

TRIAL EXHIBITS	<u>IDEN</u>	EVID	VOL.
22		643	5
136		589	5
144		576	5
1012		675	5
1016		679	5
1018		672	5
1020		709	5

Tuesday - September 4, 2018

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7:52 a.m.

PROCEEDINGS

---000---

(The following proceedings were held in open court, outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: All right. Let's get going. Good morning, Mr. Shafi.

MR. HASIB: Good morning, Your Honor.

Okay. Two things. The first is the THE COURT: Cellebrite software extraction report. And as I indicated a moment ago off the record, it seems to me that the foundation was properly laid by Agents Miller, Posadas, and Thirumalainambi. And the objection was that it was a police report. I don't agree with that analysis. It seems much more like a record from a phone company that doesn't include any discretionary insights regarding an investigation. hasn't been a dispute over its accuracy.

The exhibit that was offered was Exhibit 144 which was a subset of the text on that phone, which was I think part of Exhibit 139. If the defense wants to offer to move 139 or, you know, the entirety of the texts into evidence instead of the subset that the government offered, I would do that because it's a more complete document. But if not, I'll admit 144.

MR. FAKHOURY: I think, Your Honor, obviously without waiving our objection, our preference would be 144, the smaller

subset, to come in rather than 139, I think was the number.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HASIB: Yeah. We're on board with that, Your Honor.

All right. So 144 will be admitted into THE COURT: evidence over objection of the defense.

MR. FAKHOURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Trial Exhibit 144 received in evidence)

Then the second thing is the motion to THE COURT: compel which the government filed. And my pre-trial order requires parties to give advance notice of their witnesses just a day before, just 2:00 p.m. the day before, and that's for the orderly administration of justice. It's to be fair to both parties. And I understand that the defense decided on its own not to provide that notice. And I don't understand that.

MR. FAKHOURY: Well, Your Honor, I think -- first of all, let me make clear. It's obviously not our intention to willfully disregard the Court's orders, and we've absolutely tried our best to follow each and every order that the Court has set forth, including in the pre-trial order and all the other orders that the Court has issued in the course of the case. So to the extent the Court feels we were being -flagrantly attempting to disregard or acting in bad faith, that is not our intention.

Our intention was -- the way we read the pre-trial order

PROCEEDINGS

was there were several defense obligations in terms of producing exhibits, for example. And those conditions -- those obligations were conditioned on -- consistent with the defendant's right to an effective defense.

And it's our view -- and I understand the Court maybe doesn't share that view -- but it's our view that in order to effectively present a defense we are not obligated to produce our witness list ahead of time. We are obviously, under Rule 16, required to produce documents and exhibits which we intend to use with our witnesses, which we've done. Both on August 6 when the exhibits were due, as well as yesterday once we had finalized which witnesses we intended to call. We sent to the government the exhibits that we intend to use today. So there should hopefully be no surprises.

We don't see anything in Rule 16 that requires us to produce our witness list ahead of time. And again, you know, there's no bad faith on our part. And to the extent the Court feels that we were being -- willfully disobeying the Court's order, that's obviously not our intention. And I apologize if that's the impression that was given off.

I think as Mr. Hasib --

THE COURT: Still is, Mr. Fakhoury.

MR. FAKHOURY: Well, I can -- I understand, Your

Honor. And I will add that, you know, obviously if the Court

wants us to turn over our witnesses we're prepared to do that.

1 And we will do that if that's what the Court orders us to do. 2 THE COURT: I ordered you to do that before, Mr. Fakhoury. Now we're placed in the situation where we have 3 Mr. Vidino, we have a jury that's here. Mr. Vidino will 4 finish, I suspect, before the end of the day, and you haven't 5 told the government who the witnesses are. And so do we have 6 to take a break? What are -- I mean, how is this -- well, it's 7 not appropriate, and it's directly contrary to my order. 8 MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, I'm going to take some 9 responsibility for this as well. This is something we 10 discussed at length and --11 12 THE COURT: I don't -- fine. You can both fall on the So now I've got to figure out what to do about this. 13 sword. MR. FAKHOURY: Well, two things, Your Honor. Number 14 one, I don't see there being any delay. I anticipate we'll 15 finish our case today. Number two, just to make a record on 16 this, you know, the -- it is for this reason why the government 17 gets a rebuttal case. And that's where they can respond to 18 19 things that they're unable to respond to on the fly in the 20 defense case. 21

In any event, it is very clear the Court wants a witness list produced, and we will produce it to the government. think we've made a record that we don't feel that we're obligated to do it; but, again, if the Court wants us to do it we'll do it. So I have a list, I will produce it to Mr. Hasib

22

23

24

1 and Ms. LaPunzina right now.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you do that.

MR. FAKHOURY: Sure.

THE COURT: And then the question is do you have the capacity to examine these witnesses?

So two of them I'm not sure I recognize. MR. HASIB: (Off-the-record discussion between counsel.)

MR. HASIB: Your Honor, so I've just looked at the Let me first just reiterate what we said at the end of our motion. We've had only the most professional and courteous relationships with Mr. Fakhoury and Mr. Matthews. We expect that to continue. We see them obviously in other cases. So we were not suggesting in any way they were acting out of bad faith or anything of that nature.

I think I've been through enough trials in this district I know what was going on last night. It was attempt to sort of make the government think on the fly a little bit and little unprepared. And, you know, I think that's what Your Honor's order was intended to sort of avoid.

So here we are looking at a list of names, at least one, two -- two of them I've never seen before. We need a little bit of time to figure out who these people are and do some basic research on what we're going to cross-examine them about.

So I would ask what we suggested in our motion, which is -- they gave us fair notice of Dr. Sageman who's going to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

called. I saw him out in the court just now. He's a very nice man, by the way. Call him first, and then give us at least a little bit of time. We have agents who are not testifying and who can go and do whatever research we need to do at least, you know, in the time that Dr. Vidino and Dr. Sageman are testifying.

So give us that opportunity and I think we'll be prepared to have Mr. Keenan, Mr. Shafi -- Mr. Noah Shafi -- Mr. Pinner and the defense investigator testify. But we got to have at least a little bit of opportunity to dig around a little bit.

THE COURT: So do you need Mr. Sageman to testify after these witnesses?

MR. FAKHOURY: It's our preference, but it is not required, is how I would pitch it. He could -- he could theoretically testify first and that would not affect the testimony from the other witnesses. Again, it's our preference. We should have a right to call the case the way we want to call it. But, again, our preference is to have Dr. Sageman go last. But if the Court orders him to go first, he'll go first.

THE COURT: All right. Well, if the government isn't ready by the time that they rest, then Mr. Sageman should go first.

MR. FAKHOURY: Understood.

THE COURT: And I thoroughly agree with you that you

```
1
     should have the ability to put your witnesses on in the right
 2
     order and in the order that you prefer. But -- and I also
     think that I've been so impressed by the way that you've
 3
     presented your case and the way that you've worked together in
 4
                 So I have high regard for the lawyers here.
 5
     this case.
     I'm not happy about people who don't follow my orders.
 6
          (Off-the-record discussion with the deputy clerk.)
 7
              MR. HASIB: One guick thing for the record. Been
 8
     subject of some discussion before. I notice Mr. and Mrs. Shafi
 9
     are in the audience. We've been notified earlier today,
10
     actually, but also by this list, that they are not going to be
11
12
     witnesses. Again, the government's very appreciative and
     impressed with their dedication to be here. We have no
13
     objection to their being here as we've been advised they're not
14
15
     going to be witnesses.
              THE COURT: Good. All right.
16
17
                       (Recess taken at 8:03 a.m.)
                    (Proceedings resumed at 8:09 a.m.)
18
19
          (The following proceedings were heard in open court in the
20
     presence of the jury:)
21
              THE COURT: All right. Please be seated, everybody.
22
          Morning, ladies and gentlemen. I hope you had a good
23
     Labor Day weekend. We're back here and the government is
```

MS. LaPUNZINA: The government calls Lorenzo Vidino.

presenting its next witness.

24

LORENZO VIDINO,

called as a witness for the Plaintiff, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Go ahead and have a seat. Make yourself comfortable there, make sure you speak clearly into the microphone for our court reporter, and a little bit slowly for the court reporter.

Can you please state your full name for the record and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Sure. Lorenzo Giuseppe Vidino. That's V-I-D-I-N-O.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MS. LaPUNZINA:
- 15 **Q.** Good morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. Good morning.
- 17 **Q.** Would you please tell the jury about your educational background and a little bit about yourself?
- 19 **A.** Sure. Well, I grew up in Italy. Might pick up on the
- 20 | accent. And I have a law degree from the University of Milan
- 21 | in Italy. I moved to the states and I basically acquire the
- 22 | master degree and a Ph.D in international relations with a
- 23 | focus on the Middle East from the Fletcher School at Tufts
- 24 University in Boston.
- 25 Q. In between those programs or afterwards, did you have any

work experience?

- 2 A. Yes. I did work. Basically, been working on and off for
- 3 | the last 20 years on terrorism-related matters. I was a fellow
- 4 | at a variety of institutions. Was a fellow at the Harvard
- 5 University Kennedy School of Government, at the RAND
- 6 | Corporation, at the United States Institute of Peace. I spent
- 7 | a few years in Europe working and teaching at the Polytechnic
- 8 | in Zurich in Switzerland.
- 9 **Q.** What has been the focus of our training?
- 10 A. My academic training is basically on social sciences,
- 11 | international relations, with a focus on political violence and
- 12 | Middle Eastern affairs. Basically, I've been studying
- 13 terrorism, radicalization, for the last 20 years.
- 14 Q. If I could draw your attention to what you're currently
- 15 | doing. I believe you work at a program on extremism at George
- 16 Washington University?
- 17 **A.** That's correct. I founded the program on extremism in the
- 18 | spring of 2015.
- 19 Q. Would you please tell us what it is?
- 20 A. It's a research outfit based out of the university. What
- 21 | we do, we're basically a small team of around eight full-time
- 22 | employees and around a dozen research assistants, interns, that
- 23 do research on everything that has to do with extremism and
- 24 | radicalization, mostly in the U.S.
- 25 Q. Would you please explain to us what those two terms mean

and how you do your research?

A. Sure. I use them a lot. Generally speaking, although you're going to find different definitions given by different scholars, radicalization is the process through which an individual adopts an extremist world view, and that includes also legitimizing the use of violence to achieve political goals. So it's how people become radical. In some cases, they make the leap into terrorism. And terrorism is the action through which people use violence to achieve a political goal, basically.

So what we do at the center is we study how these processes take place, how people -- mostly in the U.S. but not only -- embrace extremist ideologies and engage in violence.

- Q. When you say you study it, how exactly do you do it?
- A. Well, we try to use different methods. In a way, some very academic, some slightly less orthodox from academic point of view, closer in a way to journalism, if you will.

Our main focus is, let's say, jihadist-related motivated radicalization in the U.S. So ISIS, al-Nusrah, other groups, and how Americans in particular have come to embrace the ideology and mobilize whether that means carrying out attacks in the United States or traveling to Syria and Iraq.

- Q. You used the term "jihadist." Sorry to interrupt you.

 But if you could please explain what you mean by "jihadist."
- A. Sure. It's, basically, an extremist ideology that uses

and abuses the religion of Islam and cherry-picks certain concepts to achieve a political goal. It's fringe of a fringe of a fringe of a fringe of what is mainstream Islam and its political ideology that makes violence against older enemies one of their cornerstones.

So there are in the United States, like many other western countries, a few individuals -- in the hundreds, a few thousands -- that embrace this ideology. What we do is we try to study who these individuals are, what are the processes that led them to embrace this ideology, and how they mobilize. That means how they try to join groups in the Middle East, how they carry out attacks in the United States.

So, basically, all their actions from A to Z. From the moment they are not radicalized, they do not even know the ideology, so how do they first get in touch with the ideology, all the way to when they carry out an act of terrorism.

- Q. Going back to the original question which was how do you do your research. If you could please explain to us.
- We use multi methods, as I said. I would say Α. Sure. there's three big parts to what we do. The first part is trying to get as many documents as possible. We're big believer in primary sources. Basically, we try to get court records, we try to get indictments, we try to get all kind of documents that pertain cases in the United States. analyze them, we study them. So one big part is really working

on documents.

The second part is we try to integrate those written records with interviews. With meeting with people who are in different ways involved in those cases. That means traveling a lot around the country and meeting with the FBI agents who worked the cases, the defense lawyers, the individuals themselves who are involved in these activities, when possible. The families. Journalists who have investigated the case. Anybody who has some knowledge on the case. And we try to put that knowledge together with what comes from the documents.

The third part of what we do is we look a lot at online activities. Radicalization nowadays take place quite a bit on the internet. So we have a small team, two senior researchers and six or seven junior researchers, that are basically on two platforms online. Twitter and Telegram. Used to be Facebook. Now less. But basically monitoring, observing, that bubble, if you will, of American English-speaking jihadist sympathizers. Individuals which are active online discussing this ideology.

And from which -- it's from that bubble that many of the individuals who have carried out attacks in the U.S. or traveled to Syria come from. So we try to put all these different sources of information together and do analysis that we, basically, then provide to policymakers, to law enforcement agencies, and to the general public. We work a lot with the media. We make, basically, everything we do public. And we

- 1 believe a lot in public dissemination.
- 2 Q. Have you testified in court before?
- 3 A. Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. Have you been qualified as an expert witness before?
- 5 A. Yes, I have.
- 6 Q. Approximately how many times have you testified as an
- 7 expert?
- 8 A. This is my fourth time.
- 9 Q. Do you get compensated for your expert testimony?
- 10 **A.** I do.
- 11 **Q.** How much do you get compensated for it?
- 12 **A.** It's \$250 an hour.
- 13 Q. Have you been paid in connection with your testimony in
- 14 this case?
- 15 **A.** Not yet.
- 16 Q. How much do you expect to get paid in connection with your
- 17 | testimony in this case?
- 18 A. I didn't crunch the numbers. Probably, I would say,
- 19 around \$10,000.
- 20 Q. Now, you just mentioned that you disseminate the
- 21 | information from the program. Would you please tell us about
- 22 | the type of work you do aside from testifying in court as an
- 23 expert witness?
- 24 A. Yeah. We do, let's say, one part of the dissemination is
- 25 | providing briefings and lectures to different audiences that

```
1
    range from -- at the universities I go and lecture to a variety
2
    of academic audiences. To policymakers. We give briefings to
    different members of Congress on a regular basis.
3
                                                       I have
    personally testified several times before both the Senate and
4
```

We provide those briefings also to a variety of law enforcement intelligence agencies, whether in the United States or abroad. We also do a lot of work with the media. So we are often quoted in mainstream media ranging from New York Times to PBS, CNN, as sources of information.

What we do is because we are very empirical basis, we're very fact basis, our numbers, our analysis, are very frequently used by media.

- If you could please open your binder and go to tab 136. 14 believe this is your résumé, is that right? 15
- Correct. 16 Α.

the House.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Did you prepare this? 17
- I did. 18 Α.
- And does it accurately summarize your educational 19
- 20 background, your professional experience, and all of your
- 21 publications?
- 22 A. It does, yes.
- 23 The government would move Exhibit 136 MS. LaPUNZINA: into evidence. 24
 - MR. FAKHOURY: No objection.

THE COURT: It's admitted. 1 2 (Trial Exhibit 136 received in evidence) MS. LaPUNZINA: The government offers Dr. Vidino as an 3 expert witness. 4 MR. FAKHOURY: No objection. 5 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed. 6 BY MS. LaPUNZINA: 7 Dr. Vidino, you mentioned earlier you had researched 8 certain groups, and you mentioned al-Nusrah Front. Would you 9 please tell us what the al-Nusrah Front is? 10 Al-Nusrah is a designated terrorist organization 11 Sure. 12 that started, let's say, around the end of 2011, beginning of 2012, basically as an offshoot of what is now known as ISIS. 13 It's a very complicated story, but to make it short ISIS, 14 which at the time was simply known as ISI, Islamic State in 15 Iraq, had been operating in Iraq for a long time. Basically 16 throughout the 2000s. Second part of the 2000s. 17 In 2011, the Syrian civil war begins. What we still --18 what's still ongoing. Civil conflict in Syria begins. 19 20 different groups start fighting the regime in Syria. The Islamic State in Iraq, led by Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, 21

The Islamic State in Iraq, led by Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, decides it would be important for them to have a force fighting in neighboring Syria. Iraq and Syria have a long border. And, basically, since -- one man who had been a prominent leader of ISI, of ISIS, in Iraq, a guy named Mohammad al-Joulani, sent

22

23

24

into Syria to lead a small group, a vanguard, of members of ISIS to fight in Syria.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

That group becomes, from the very beginning, very effective on the ground in Syria. It's a time where there's many, many different militias fighting in a very uncoordinated way in Syria to try to defeat the regime there. Al-Nusrah emerges as one of the best organized. It's well-armed, it's well-funded, and it's leaders are battle hardened. They'd been fighting for a decade in Iraq. They know how to -- they know insurgency. They know how to do things. They know how to perform militarily. So al-Nusrah emerges as one of the most effective forces there.

- Q. You were just saying that they're well funded and they're organized. Would you please explain what you mean by that?
- Well, sure. Starting off -- yeah, most of the other 15 forces in Syria were, as I said, somewhat improvised. 16 17 were a group of mostly Syrian citizens fighting against a regime they wanted to overthrow. They didn't have the 18 19 international connections that a group like ISIS, and therefore 20 al-Nusrah, had. They could leverage the weapons, the donors, 21 the financial backers, that other jihadist groups globally has had for a long time. So they, basically, were able to rely on 22 23 funding coming mostly from the Middle East, from the Arab gulf and, basically, rely on funds to allow them to buy off other 24 25 militia groups so that maybe other militia groups joined them

1 because they were, basically, the most powerful, the best

- 2 | financed, and the ones with the most weapons.
- 3 Q. Now, you mentioned that ISI and al-Nusrah were fighting
- 4 against a regime in Syria. Which regime was that?
- 5 A. Bashar al-Assad's Regime.
- 6 Q. Now if you could go back a little bit and explain to us
- 7 how you know these details about how ISI was functioning and
- 8 how al-Nusrah was functioning.
- 9 **A.** Well, sure. It's a fairly well-known story. First of
- 10 | all, these groups themselves put out a lot of propaganda that
- 11 tells a lot of this dynamics. Now, of course, one has to take
- 12 | propaganda coming from these groups with a pinch of salt.
- 13 But at the same time there's a lot of other evidence
- 14 coming from different sources, whether it's governments; which
- 15 | also again one has to take a pinch of salt. There's a variety
- of scholars who have written about these dynamics; the dynamics
- 17 of how ISIS established a presence in Syria. And that presence
- 18 was al-Nusrah. It's something that is, let's say, a well-known
- 19 fact. Undisputed fact.
- 20 Q. Now, you mentioned that the person who was sent on behalf
- 21 of al-Nusrah was al-Joulani?
- 22 A. Al-Joulani, correct.
- 23 | Q. What is his full name?
- 24 A. Mohammad al-Joulani.
- 25 **Q.** And is he referred to as the leader of al-Nusrah?

- A. Yes. He's been the leader from day one.
- 2 | Q. Is a leader sometimes referred to also as an emir?
 - **A.** An *emir*, yes. That's the word in Arabic.
- 4 Q. Where is al-Nusrah Front present in the world?
- 5 A. Well, it's in, basically, most of the western and southern
- 6 parts of Syria. Of course, since 2011 there's been many shifts
- 7 | in the territory that al-Nusrah controls. Nowadays there's a
- 8 | battle taking place actually to dislodge al-Nusrah from the
- 9 region of Idlib. But at some point it controlled fairly large
- 10 parts of western and southern Syria.
- 11 **Q.** What are al-Nusrah Front's ideologies?
- 12 **A.** The ideology is what I would generally refer, as using the
- 13 | word I used earlier, sort of *jihadist* ideology. It's an
- 14 | ideology, but it's similar to the two groups that has strong
- 15 | connections with ISIS and al-Qaeda. It's part of this global
- 16 | movement that basically adopts an almost identical ideology.
- 17 | There's minor variations.

- And the core beliefs of the ideology are that it is a duty
- 19 | for all Muslims to create an Islamic regime. And by "Islamic
- 20 regime" they mean a regime that strictly enforces Islamic law.
- 21 That any regime that does not rule by Islamic law -- and,
- 22 | again, by a very strict interpretation of Islamic law -- is
- 23 | illegitimate and is against god. And that violence is
- 24 | justified, and actually the best mean to achieve that goal of
- 25 establishing a regime.

And they argue at the individual level it's a duty for everybody to fight in order to achieve that goal, and that dying in the cause, dying in the process, is one of the biggest honor, one of the biggest rewards, an individual Muslim can have.

Now, obviously, this is very fringe in Islamic theology.

The vast, vast majority of Muslims would reject this ideology and this mindset, but it is still a group, like ISIS, like al-Oaeda, that has tractions in certain milieus.

- Q. What are the tactics employed by al-Nusrah Front in order to effect those ideologies?
- A. Well, uses a variety of tactics that range from military insurgency to what we would commonly refer to as terrorism. As I said, one of the reasons why we've been successful on the ground on Syria is the fact that they had a decade of experience in insurgency, paramilitary tactics. So they pose a very serious threat to the Syrian military. At the same time they use tactics like suicide bombings which are more properly defined as close to terrorism.
- Q. Who is the most prominent influential figure of al-Nusrah Front in the west, like as in America or western Europe?
- A. As a figure? Al-Joulani for sure. Al-Joulani embodies in himself al-Nusrah. He's the leader and most well-known al-Nusrah member in the west and everywhere.
 - Q. Now, you said that -- you described al-Nusrah as being a

fringe-fringe view of Islam. How does al-Nusrah reconcile its tactics with the five pillars of Islam?

A. Well, it argues that what they're doing is not just compatible with Islam and with the tenets of Islam, but they are actually better than the vast majority of Muslims who don't get Islam right, if you will. They, basically, see themselves as a vanguard of individuals who are following Islam in the right way, while everybody else is not. And they do follow what are the five pillars of Islam, the core tenets of Islam.

They ascribe to what is a common belief in the jihadist war, not just al-Nusrah, but other groups would have it, that there's a sixth pillar of Islam which is jihad. Jihad is a word that can have different meanings, but the way they interpret it is fighting. Military fighting.

- Q. Do they incorporate also the other principles? For example, helping others doing charitable work? How do they incorporate that?
- A. Absolutely. They are very strict in how they interpret Islam. They try to be very literalist and follow all the tenets of Islam.

But in their view -- and of course many Muslims would disagree with that -- but in their view doing charity is not incompatible in the least to the other violent activities they're carrying out. If anything, they're actually not only duties from god they absolve, but they're also strategically

part of what they're trying to do.

What al-Nusrah and other groups have been trying to do is control part of territory and create a state. They fully understand that in order to do that there's a military component, which means fighting and liberating territory and conquering and seizing the territory; but they also need to win popular support. They're going to control a population, and you control the population if you win their sympathy, if you help them, if you show good governance.

So exactly like ISIS with some differences. But they, basically, try to merge the two sides; the one that uses violence with the one that shows compassion, mercy, and good governance.

- Q. Based on your research have you seen any common characteristics in individuals who support al-Nusrah?
- A. Well, I think one thing that needs to be said, that there is no common profile of individuals. Let's leave the analysis, let's limit it only to Americans who join al-Nusrah. And there's been quite a few cases. There's no common profile in terms of socioeconomic background, age, walks of life, patterns. It's a very diverse bunch.

You could obviously argue that these are people who embrace a certain ideology. There is a bit of a distinction between al-Nusrah and I would say its competitor, if you will, when it comes to recruitment, which is ISIS.

Al-Nusrah tends to be more deliberate in the way it pursues its goals compared to ISIS. ISIS is very showy, very flamboyant, if you will. The big videos that we've seen over the last years of people being burned in cages, the Hollywood style beheadings, the very brutal violence in some cases for the sake of violence that ISIS uses is not the way Jabhat al-Nusrah operates. Al-Nusrah tends to be -- likes to fly under the radar a bit more. That doesn't mean they don't use violence; they just don't glamorize it the way ISIS does.

- Q. Now, you've compared al-Nusrah to ISIS. Does it have any connections with al-Qaeda as well?
- A. Yeah. It's again somewhat of a complex story. But as we said, al-Nusrah starts as an ISIS spinoff in Syria, basically. What happens is that after al-Nusrah has this big success in 2012, beginning of 2013, al-Nusrah emerges as one of the most powerful sources in Syria.

ISIS in Iraq, and its leader, Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, want to reclaim al-Nusrah, want to show the world al-Nusrah is part of them. So Baghdadi issued in April 2013 a statement basically saying al-Nusrah is part of us, it's part of ISIS.

Al-Nusrah becomes resistant to that. Joulani issues a counter statement basically claiming the organization's independence from ISIS and pledging allegiance to al-Qaeda.

It's around that time, so April 2013, that basically in this global jihadist movement and this big jungle of

- 1 organizations that embrace this same ideology -- but of course
- 2 have somewhat different tactics and different perspectives --
- 3 | there's a big split between ISIS on one side and al-Qaeda on
- 4 | the other. Al-Nusrah is sort of the reason why the two
- 5 organizations start fighting. Al-Nusrah pledges allegiance to
- 6 al-Qaeda, becomes part of al-Qaeda.
- 7 Q. Can you tell us what al-Qaeda is?
- 8 A. Al-Qaeda is, until ISIS emerged, was the most powerful,
- 9 important *jihadist* terrorist organization. It is the
- 10 organization that carried out the September 11, 2001, attacks
- 11 and many other attacks throughout the world in the last 20
- 12 years.
- 13 **Q.** Where is al-Qaeda present?
- 14 A. It has sort of its headquarters, if you will, its center
- 15 of gravity, between Afghanistan and Pakistan, but it has a
- 16 presence, its global affiliates, in many different parts of the
- 17 world.
- 18 **Q.** Who is the current leader of al-Qaeda?
- 19 A. Ayman al-Zawahiri, who had long been the right-hand man to
- 20 Osama bin Laden. Once bin Laden died, al-Zawahiri became the
- 21 leader.
- 22 Q. Who was al-Qaeda's most prominent, influential figure in
- 23 | the west?
- 24 A. There's difference, but I think -- unquestionably, Anwar
- 25 | al-Awlaki had been traditionally, I would say, since at least

2002, 2003, the most influential voice for English language speakers for individuals who embrace jihadist ideology.

Q. Why was that?

A. Al-Awlaki was -- he was -- until he died, was a very, very prominent speaker for a variety of reasons. First of all, he was able to mix a very deep knowledge of Islamic theology, of Arabic sources. He was mother tongue Arabic speaker, but at the same time he was by lingual. He had grown up -- he was born in the states. He spent many years in the United States. He was Yemeni-American citizen.

So he had this ability as a very gifted speaker to mix these very sophisticated knowledge of the Quran, of different Islamic texts, of Arabic poetry, with stuff that is the day-to-day life of somebody who lives in the west. So in the same sentence he would tell you about a 12th century Islamic scholar and how that relates to you if you worked the night shift at Burger King. That's kind of the way al-Awlaki was so good in the way of having an impact on second, if not third generation individuals born in the west, converts, people who maybe didn't have a good knowledge of Arabic.

Add to that that he was a very gifted speaker, very catchy, if you will. And at the same time was one of the very first clerics, idealogues, that understood the importance of the internet. So already in the early 2000s you would find hundreds and hundreds of his lectures and articles on a variety

of platforms online. And that still today that should be fairly easy to find, al-Awlaki's material online.

the jihadist world.

- Q. So does he have the same impact after his death as he did while alive?
- more. Al-Awlaki became a martyr. He was killed by the U.S. government in a drone strike. So in a way in that world, that means achieving martyrdom. That, you know, brings even greater honor. And his lectures are still very much available. He's still considered by people who, basically, are English first language speakers the most influential idealogue. And in a sense, even the distinction between al-Qaeda and ISIS that I was mentioning earlier, al-Awlaki was a leader in al-Qaeda, and an idealogue in al-Qaeda, but ISIS reveres him, as well. One of the training camps that ISIS used to run in Syria was called Camp al-Awlaki. Al-Awlaki is extremely influential throughout
- Q. Have you ever heard him referred to as Sheikh Anwar?
- **A.** "Sheikh" is a title, honorary title, that is given to
 20 people of importance. So Sheikh Anwar would be very common for
 21 al-Awlaki, yes.
 - Q. Now, during the course of your research that you said you've studied these three groups, approximately how many cases of each have you studied? Is there one more prominent than the other?

- 1 Well, there's been around 120 arrests of individuals Α. 2 linked to ISIS, al-Nusrah, al-Qaeda over the last five years. There's a few cases which are somewhat borderline, individuals 3 who are interested in both groups. I would say probably the 4 split off is 60/40. 60 percent individuals who are linked or 5 interested in ISIS, 40 percent al-Nusrah. That's more or less. 6 Do the three groups endorse violence in the same way? 7 0. In very similar ways. I would say there's some minor 8 distinctions. As I said earlier, al-Nusrah is slightly more 9 deliberate. Tries at least publicly to portray itself as not 10 as indiscriminate in its use of violence as ISIS is. 11 12 said, it's ISIS the group that puts out these very gory videos of beheadings and so on. Al-Nusrah's videos are also extremely 13 violent, but it's not violence for the sake of violence. 14 It's somewhat more nuanced. 15 You mentioned earlier the term "radicalization." As a 16
 - result of your research have you found any commonalities in individuals who have become radicalized?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, as I said earlier, there's no common profile. Different age ranges, different socioeconomic backgrounds, different ethnic profiles, males and females. It's really impossible to draw a common profile.

There are, I would say, patterns when it comes to the radicalization process, how individuals become radicalized. Again, each trajectory is different, but you can find

similarities, patterns, in how people do so.

Q. Can you please explain what that is?

1

- 3 A. Yeah. Well, you have, I would say, a small percentage of
- 4 | individuals, and if we're limiting this analysis just to the
- 5 United States. Of course, each country would have different
- 6 dynamics. But in the United States, I would say you have a
- 7 | relatively small percentage of people radicalized
- 8 independently. That are individuals who are simply online.
- 9 They don't -- they're not part of any community and they have
- 10 | this sort of independent radicalization process.
- 11 Most cases what you will find is a small group of
- 12 | like-minded individuals. In many cases, they've known each
- 13 other for quite some time, whether they're related to one
- 14 another, whether they went to school together, whether they
- 15 | grew up in the same neighborhood. Small group, three, four,
- 16 | five individuals. Some cases there's sort of a more
- 17 | charismatic individual that attracts the others.
- 18 And they start this radicalization process which operates
- 19 | independently from organizations like al-Nusrah or ISIS. It's
- 20 | not like al-Nusrah or ISIS send people to the United States to
- 21 radicalize them. What happens is that these organizations put
- 22 | out big, big amounts of propaganda and individuals who are
- 23 | radicalized become consumers of that propaganda.
- 24 And so group dynamics ensue, and sort of group of friends
- 25 start talking about this propaganda, start consuming the

propaganda, and then eventually some mobilize, take the next step, which means doing something. Going from words to action.

- Q. As a result of your research, are you familiar with the means by which people mobilize?
- A. Yes, I am.

- Q. And mobilize in connection in al-Nusrah Front. If youcould please explain.
 - A. Sure. Well, mobilization takes generally two different routes. One is carrying out attacks in the United States, the other one means traveling to a place outside the United States to join one of these groups.

When it comes to al-Nusrah, the dynamic has been for the most part traveling to Syria to join, or to try to join, the organization. There's --

- Q. How do people travel to Syria, based on your research?
- A. Well, there's different ways. Generally speaking, what they do is they try to find a route which allows them to avoid detection from law enforcement. So generally -- of course, you can't fly from the United States to Syria and join al-Nusrah. Generally, the most common route is through Turkey. Turkey has a very long border with Syria and for a long time that border has been fairly easy to cross, whether legally or illegally.

But most cases individuals would find somewhat indirect ways to get to Turkey because travel in itself to Turkey can raise some red flags. And then from Turkey, generally one

arrives in Istanbul or one of the international airports in Turkey, makes his or her way to the border towns on the Turkish side and then makes its way to Syria.

Now there's different ways in which one does that based on connections. The way I often describe that is with an analogy of airline traveling; there's first class, business class and economy class. And I'm not talking about the class you fly, but I'm talking about how you join a group like al-Nusrah.

First class would mean that you have deep connections to al-Nusrah. Means you have prior knowledge, means you've been around the block. You are somebody that has been plugged into that world for a long time, knows people who are inside. You show up there in Syria, you know what number to call, you know where the group is, you're already somewhat part of that group.

- Q. How many people fall into that first class?
- A. In the United States you can count them on one hand.

 There was one fairly famous case here in California. Southern

 California. You can count them on one hand.

What is somewhat more common is the business class way of going there. Which means you radicalize on your own, you don't have prior connections to al-Nusrah, but you manage somehow to develop them before leaving the United States to travel -- to go to Turkey and Syria. And in many cases you build those connections online, even though ISIS has been more open than al-Nusrah in developing those connections online. But through

social media there's many cases of individuals in the
United States that -- of course it takes some persistence.

People will vet you online. But you manage to build your bona fide credentials online and you get connections that will help you cross from Turkey to Syria and make your way to al-Nusrah.

You generally will get a phone number, you'll get a name, you'll get an address in Turkey. You fly there, you call that number. It's a bit of a game. It's, obviously, a secretive organization. But you'll eventually make your way. And we've seen quite a few cases of that. I would say probably around 50 percent of cases would be business class flying, business class traveling.

The other 50 percent would be what I would call as economy class traveling. Which means, basically, you don't have any connection, whether because you couldn't establish one or you didn't try to establish one. You travel to Turkey and you ask around. And you -- in particular in 2012, 2013, 2014 -- at the very beginning of the mobilization for Syria when it was fairly easy to cross the border, there have been quite a few cases of individuals were successful in establishing that connection once arrived in Turkey.

It's a matter of being a bit street smart and lucky; combination of the two. You go around. Of course, it's not going to happen within the first hour you land in Istanbul or wherever you are in Turkey. But you go to the right mosque,

conservative mosque, and you look at people that might look
like they have knowledge or might be interested in -- there are
certain signs that point you to potentially that person being
knowledgeable about these dynamics.

Of course, a lot of the people involved in these activities were Syrians, or anyway Arabs, which of course in Turkey they do stand out just by language. Because in Turkey, Turkish is the language, so Arab would sort of stick out as a language.

So there's been quite a few cases of people who have been able to join Jabhat al-Nusrah by traveling economy and leaving the United States without any prior connection to al-Nusrah and establishing, being street smart and lucky, to establish that connection once they've landed in Turkey.

- Q. Have you had an opportunity to review records and calls and summaries of reports in connection with this case?
- **A.** I have.
- 18 | Q. And you've reviewed them prior to testifying today?
- **A.** Yes.

- **Q.** More specifically, did you listen to approximately 15
- 21 audio calls?
- **A.** I have.
- 23 Q. And did you also have an opportunity to review Google and
- 24 YouTube search histories or summaries of them?
- **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. In general terms are you familiar with Mr. Shafi
- 2 | purchasing one-way tickets to Turkey and not letting his family
- 3 know about his purchases or his trip?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. Are you also familiar with his statements to law
- 6 enforcement when he had encounters with them both in 2014 and
- 7 in 2015?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. Would you please tell us based on your research whether or
- 10 | not it's unusual to have an individual purchasing one-way
- 11 | tickets like this without notifying friends or family at home?
- 12 **A.** No, it's a fairly common -- common dynamic done by a lot
- 13 of people. I would say to some degree a bit of a tactical
- 14 | mistake because actually a one-way ticket attracts a bit more
- 15 | attention from law enforcement, particularly if the destination
- 16 | is Turkey. But it's commonly done.
- 17 And the not notifying parents is a fairly common dynamic.
- 18 | I would say many of the individuals who had left the
- 19 United States were fairly young. The average age is around 21,
- 20 | 22. And many of them, of course, living with their parents.
- 21 | And the vast majority of cases they had to hide their intention
- 22 | of traveling from their parents because they knew that the
- 23 parents did not consent.
- 24 | Q. Have you seen other cases where individuals who attempted
- 25 to travel were completely compliant with law enforcement when

they had law enforcement encounters?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

23

25

A. I would say it's a standard attitude. I can think of a few outliers of cases in which people were belligerent. One very famous case of somebody attacking the FBI with a knife. But I would say vast majority of the cases people are fairly calm and cooperative.

Now, whether what they tell the FBI or authorities is the truth or not, that changes from case to case, obviously. But generally speaking, yes, fairly calm.

- Q. How is that calm behavior consistent with mobilization?
- Well, it can come simply from the idea that you don't want 11 12 to raise too much suspicion. That you don't necessarily, in some cases, see what you're doing as problematic. There's been 13 cases in which people tell authorities, Yes, I want to leave 14 for Syria and I want to join a group because it's my duty as a 15 Muslim. In some other cases, it takes just a bit of 16 preparation and being level-headed and cool and not -- without 17 giving away what you're really doing, but simply knowing a bit 18 19 your rights and knowing that not necessarily is going to be something that will incriminate you. 20
 - Q. Are you familiar with some of Mr. Shafi's electronic and online searches? For example, relating to media put out by Anwar al-Awlaki and the information about al-Baghdadi?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
 - Q. And would you please explain to us how you're familiar

with those works and what they mean?

A. Yeah. Well, Anwar al-Awlaki, as I said earlier, was a very prolific idealogue. He had hundreds and hundreds of hours of lectures which are widely available online. I would say I'm hard-pressed to find a single case in the United States of somebody who was involved in jihadist activities being charged that did not possess some al-Awlaki material. I mean, al-Awlaki was, is, and still is the idealogue for English speakers of the jihadist world.

Some of the lectures from the defendant's possession are, I would say, some the most extreme that al-Awlaki produced. Al-Awlaki had a very broad production. Not everything that al-Awlaki produced was directly advocating violence and <code>jihad</code>. Many of the lectures were more about religion. Always a very conservative interpretation of it; always to some degree conducive to the idea that Muslims should fight, <code>jihad</code>. But not all of them were strictly advocating -- directly advocating that. But some of the lectures that were in possession of the defendant I think were definitely on the extreme side, if you will.

Constants in the Path of Jihad was arguably one of the most radical works by al-Awlaki. It's the translation of a book written by a very well-known al-Qaeda leader, Yusuf al-Ayiri, who was the founder and the leader of al-Qaeda in the Arabian peninsula, who wrote a book in Arabic, al-Awlaki

translated it into English.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But a bit of a loose translation where he interjected a lot with his own commentary; again, making it more relatable for a western audience. And the theme of the book, basically, in a nutshell, is that jihad, fighting, is a duty for all Muslims. That it's sort of a perennial duty -- "constants" meaning jihad is constant. It's for every time, every place, all the time.

- Q. How did that series compare to The Hereafter Series?
- A. The Hereafter is more on the other side of the spectrum.

11 It's more religious. It doesn't necessarily call directly for

12 | violence. The main -- basically, what the hours and hours of

13 | lecture in *The Hereafter*, what they do is they -- in them

al-Awlaki explains what happens when a Muslim dies. Whether he

goes to paradise, goes to hell, what is the process of the

16 | soul, and all those things.

There is an underlying theme when you put it in context with some of the other lectures by al-Awlaki that if you don't obey all the commandments of Islam, you're going to -- you're not going to paradise, you're going to hell.

I think if you put that in context with the other writings by al-Awlaki, where al-Awlaki says jihad, fighting, is a commandment, it's mandatory for all Muslims, then you see that you come to the perception that if you don't fight, if you don't join jihad, you're going to hell. You're not going to be

1 | able to go to paradise.

- 2 \ Q. Are you familiar with his searches relating to jihad,
- 3 | Islamic State, Taliban, Zawahiri, ISIS?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 **Q.** What are those indicative of, based on your experience?
- 6 A. I would say it's fairly standard practice for individuals
- 7 | who are in the process of radicalizing to basically look for
- 8 more information on a variety of concepts -- individuals,
- 9 groups -- linked to the jihadist world. So the sources used
- 10 | are different, in some cases are more mainstream-use outlets,
- 11 | in some other cases is more sources leaning clearly from --
- 12 | coming from jihadist propaganda.
- 13 Q. Are you also familiar with Mr. Shafi's searches relating
- 14 to the special interview of the emir of Jabhat al-Nusrah,
- 15 | al-Joulani, that was featured on Al Jazeera?
- 16 **A.** Yes, I am.
- 17 | Q. Would you please tell us what you know about that
- 18 interview?
- 19 **A.** That interview was somewhat famous. Was an interview that
- 20 Al Jazeera, which is the largest TV station in the Arab world,
- 21 transnational TV station in the Arab world, broadcast between
- 22 | the last week of May and the first week of June 2015. It was
- 23 | very criticized, that interview, first of all, because it was
- 24 | aired on such a prominent TV channel and because it was judged
- 25 by many to be very much of a softball interview in which the

interviewer, who's sort of the Larry King or the Anderson
Cooper of journalism in the Arab world, Ahmed Mansour, a very
famous journalist.

Q. And this was a two-part interview?

A. Two-part interview. Like two hours, basically. Treated Joulani pretty much as a head of state in a way that it elevated al-Nusrah to a very different level. And that was sort of while al-Nusrah was trying to do strategically was to portray itself as different from ISIS.

ISIS, in al-Nusrah's perception -- or at least the image they tried to project -- was that ISIS were the real extremists, the crazy ones, the ones that behead and burn people alive, ultra-violent, ultra-sectarian. We, al-Nusrah, are the more reasonable one; we're fighting to defend the Syrian people, we're more moderate and so on and so forth.

And in a way, that interview was elevating al-Nusrah to that level because it would be like having that interview prime time on, let's say, CBS or NBC or ABC, but with very soft questions.

- Q. During that approximately two-hour long interview, did Mr. al-Joulani explain the ideologies and the tactics of al-Nusrah Front as a terrorist organization?
- A. Yeah, many times, basically. That's what most of the interview was about. He was -- he discussed many issues from the tactics that the groups used. Particularly at the

- 1 beginning gets into the details of what's happening on the
- 2 battlefield, what the next moves will be. Spoke quite a bit
- about the strategic vision of al-Nusrah, the ideology, what the
- 4 | final goal of the organization would be. It talked guite a bit
- 5 about the relationship with the west. It talked about the fact
- 6 that they -- both him, Joulani, and the interviewer, Mansour --
- 7 | thought that it was a bit unfair that the United States had
- 8 designated the al-Nusrah as a terrorist organization. Really
- 9 was a very broad interview in which many of the aspects of
- 10 | al-Nusrah's ideologies, strategy, and goals were outlined very
- 11 clearly.
- 12 | Q. Did you have an opportunity to listen to the call between
- 13 Mr. Shafi and Mr. Saleem Karim on June 5, 2015?
- 14 **A.** I had.
- 15 \ Q. And this was a call at approximately 2:41 in the morning
- 16 | California time?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And in that call Mr. Shafi describes this two-hour long
- 19 | interview that he watched relating to Mr. al-Joulani, is that
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. Are his statements to his friend, Saleem Karim, consistent
- 23 | with what you know about that Al Jazeera interview of
- 24 Mr. al-Joulani?
- 25 A. Yes, very much so.

Q. Now, are you also familiar with Mr. Shafi's searches relating to directions to Gaziantep, Turkey; SFO to Istanbul; crossing Syrian border illegally; am I on the no-fly list? Have you seen similar searches in other cases and what were they indicative of based on your experience?

A. Yeah, that's extremely common. Again, I'm hard-pressed to find cases in which individuals who are attempting to travel to join different groups don't really do the same thing. Which is, basically, looking first of all whether they can fly or not. Whether they're on the no-fly list. Particularly if anybody has had an encounter with the FBI or they feel, whether rightly or not, but they are under FBI surveillance. They know about the no-fly list so they will look for ways to find out whether, you know, getting on an airplane will be problematic or not.

And equally, if not more common, is the idea of researching different ways to get into Turkey to avoid detection. So one part of it is purely the mechanics of it.

Just familiarizing yourself with the geography of the place, where you cross the border. But obviously, here we're talking about individuals who are looking for -- it's not your regular road trip, not your regular holiday traveling. So it's a border, but it's supposedly secured. Obviously, it wasn't.

But clearly, you have to avoid detection in order to get there, so it's very common to look at indirect ways to get to Turkey,

```
as I was saying earlier. And then you look at all possible
ways to cross illegally. So that kind of research is extremely
common.
```

- Q. Is that one step in the path of mobilization of taking movement to --
- A. Preparing for your trip. Just the logistics of the travel
 is one of the main things that one can do it in a more
 deliberate and sophisticated way, one less sophisticated way.
- Q. Have you seen the picture recovered from Mr. Shafi's phone
- 12 **A.** I have.

But it's extremely common.

which is Shaheed, Status: Pending?

4

5

9

- Q. What is the significance of that photo based on your research?
- Well, shaheed is the word that means -- means different 15 things in Arabic, but it means martyr. It's the status you 16 achieve when you die fighting jihad, fighting for the cause of 17 God. Or at least that's what they believe in sort of the 18 19 jihadist world. That's what jihadist ideology tells you. 20 would interpret "Shaheed, Status: Pending" as something 21 written by somebody who is aspiring to that status and is 22 working to achieve that status.
- Q. Now, you mentioned the meaning of words in Arabic. As a result of listening to the calls, perhaps with the aid of the transcripts, did you notice that there's a recurrence of the

1 use of certain words in Arabic in those conversations that

- 2 Mr. Shafi has with his friends and family?
- 3 **A.** Sure.
- 4 Q. Do you speak Arabic?
- 5 A. I don't. Very poorly.
- 6 Q. Do you know what some of these words mean notwithstanding
- 7 | not speaking Arabic?
- 8 A. Yeah, of course. Most of the language used is the
- 9 standard language that people interested in *jihadist* ideology
- 10 use. I would say probably 70, 80 percent of people who have
- 11 joined or tried to joined al-Nusrah or ISIS in the states do
- 12 | not speak Arabic, are not Arabic speakers. They will use some
- 13 | jargon, some key words that are in Arabic, but they don't speak
- 14 Arabic.
- 15 Q. If you could please turn to tab 33 in your binder. And
- 16 I'm going to put up the exhibits.
- 17 So if you could please look at Exhibit No. 33 which is
- 18 also being shown to the jury. If you could please tell us
- 19 whether or not there are any of those, I quess, buzz jihadi
- 20 words, as you would say?
- 21 A. Yeah, quite a few of them. Bay'ah is fairly common. It's
- 22 | a pledge of allegiance that one does to a group. So it's one
- 23 of the things that aspiring recruits do, is they decide to
- 24 | pledge allegiance, to give bay'ah, to a leader of an
- 25 organization. Whether you do it to ISIS or al-Nusrah, that's

one choice.

Dunya is a word that is commonly used. I think the defendant used it fairly often. Yeah, I think that's a fair translation: Worldly concerns. I think in a lot of the defendant's conversations that's used in a way to say that people shouldn't -- a good Muslim shouldn't concern himself too much with dunya, with worldly concerns, but should be more invested in what comes in the afterlife and to please god in the afterlife. So abandoning dunya, abandoning worldly possessions in your day-to-day life, to achieve something greater.

There's quite a few of them. *Emir* would be -- There's one other one. The concept of *hijra*, which was used by the defendant in a couple of circumstances. *Hijra* translated migration. There's, of course, a mainstream Islamic concept is what the prophet Mohammad did when he left Mecca to go to Medina to establish the first community, the first Muslim community.

But in sort of the *jihadist* world, *hijra* is often interpreted as the migration from un-Islamic lands -- in this case the United States -- to go to join a pure Islamic society. In this case, would be joining groups like al-Nusrah or ISIS in Syria. It's a common concept that it's -- and it was brought up by the defendant a couple of times that Anwar al-Awlaki makes that extensively. It's a sin, it's prohibited, to true

Muslims, as some individuals think of themselves, to live in a non-Islamic society. To live surrounded by infidels. It's a duty for them to then go, leave that land, so do migration, do hijra, and join a pure Islamic society.

It's the concept the vast majority of the people who leave the United States and join these groups use: I'm doing hijra and joining al-Nusrah, joining ISIS.

There's more. *Kuffar, kufr*. You know, the term commonly used to refer to infidels.

Can go on. There's shaheed which we discussed earlier as martyr. That's a concept that is obviously something to be achieved. Becoming a shaheed, in jihadist ideology, is the highest honor. One dies fighting jihad dies in the path of god. So that allows it to achieve paradise.

We can go on one by one, if you want.

Q. No. That's okay. Thank you. We've heard the words already.

Have you also heard Mr. Shafi's statement on a call with his friend on June 30, after he was stopped at the airport, that he decided that if he found his passport he was meant to travel?

A. Yes.

23 Q. And are you familiar with a text message he sent -- or 24 earlier in the year -- saying that Sheikh Anwar gave him 25 directions? A. Yes, I have.

Q. During the course of your research, have you learned of a role that dreams play in a person's path to radicalization?

A. Yes. It's a fairly common concept. You'll find quite a bit of academic literature about the importance of dreams in the jihadist milieu. I can think of many examples of individuals who were at the highest levels of the jihadist world. It's very well known that Mullah Omar, the former leader of the Taliban, gave as an explanation for many of the policy decisions which he had. For example, the idea of hosting Osama bin Laden in Afghanistan. He often explained that he was doing that because he had a dream that told him to do so.

So it's a fairly common concept. And we see it in our research in many individual cases in the United States of individuals that talk about dreams they have had, whether they received direct orders, recommendations on a course of action, or it's their interpretation of less direct dreams. But they talk about dreams as one of the driving forces, in a way it's a sign, it's a way that god talks to them through dreams.

Fairly common -- fairly famous case of an individual that carried out terrorist attack in Texas a couple of years ago who had been, basically, part of this *jihadist* bubble for at least ten years. He had been involved, been consumer of propaganda, but never really acted, never made the passage from words to

action. At some point he said he had a dream. He had a dream
of a woman wearing a full veil, niqab, smiling at him. Or,
with the eyes. You know, being sweet eyes to him.

And that -- he interpreted that as the virgins of paradise calling him. And within two months he decided, after ten years of just sort of being all words and no action, he decided to carry out the terrorist attack. And in his writings he quoted that dream as the main drive that led him to that passage.

It's a fairly common dynamic.

- Q. Based on your review of the records in this case, the text messages, the calls, the search histories, the travel plans, do you have an opinion as to whether or not the evidence in this case is consistent with radicalization?
- 14 A. I would say extremely consistent, as a lot of the dynamics
 15 are fairly common.
- **Q.** Do you have an opinion on whether or not the evidence in this case is consistent with mobilization?
- 18 A. Very much so.

- **Q.** And if you could please summarize the basis for your opinion.
 - A. Just when put in relation with the hundreds of cases we look at in the United States. I also do similar work in different European countries. It basically fits, even though of course there are differences from case to case, it fits many of the patterns. From sort of the group dynamics when it comes

to radicalization; from the level of knowledge on certain dynamics; from how that knowledge is acquired; what the sources of knowledge, whether it's the ideological knowledge, al-Awlaki, finding out more about groups and their tactics and ideology; or the knowledge on mobilization on logistics, how to travel, how to join certain groups; to the actions taken to mobilize. I would say very extremely consistent with patterns we observe.

- Q. Are there any particular statements that are particularly significant to you in forming your opinion in this case?
- A. There's quite a few, I would say. There's a constant concern I see on the part of the defendant that his inaction, the not going, the not joining, would be something that will cost him in the face of god. That basically would -- it would be sinful for him not to travel and not to join these groups.

So different statements. When he talks about, you know, his fear of being before god with no blood on my books and no scars on my face. It's a very common concept which al-Awlaki popularizes, which is very common in *jihadist* ideology, which is extremely common with all individuals that mobilize. That living -- again, we go back to the concepts of *dunya* -- living your daily life full of privileges and, you know, nice, cushy life while Muslims are killed and you're not doing anything about it will cost you dearly in the afterlife. It's a sin and will cost you dearly in the afterlife.

```
1
          That's extremely common. And you do see in the
 2
     defendant's behavior in many cases, whether it's conversations
     that he has on the phone or with an inmate that he visits, in
 3
     many circumstances he expresses that.
 4
 5
              MS. LaPUNZINA: One moment, Your Honor.
          (Pause.)
 6
              MS. LaPUNZINA: I have no further questions.
 7
                                                             Thank
     you, Dr. Vidino.
 8
 9
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
10
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you. Mr. Fakhoury?
              MR. FAKHOURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
12
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. FAKHOURY:
13
          Good morning, Dr. Vidino.
14
     Q.
          Good morning.
15
     Α.
          You testified that you're the director of the George
16
     Washington University center on extremism.
17
          Program on extremism.
18
     Α.
          Program on extremism. And you mentioned that that program
19
     Q.
20
     does work collaboratively with law enforcement, correct?
21
          Correct.
     Α.
22
          You provide trainings for law enforcement.
```

Workshop. We don't get paid. But, yes, we --

And you mentioned in your direct testimony that a

whenever we're called to do training, of course we do it.

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yeah.

Sure.

- 1 major part of your research involves reviewing what you called
- 2 primary sources. Do you remember that?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. So that would be -- I think you mentioned court documents
- 5 in criminal cases. Correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. So that would include, let's say, FBI reports.
- 8 A. Correct. When available.
- 9 Q. Transcripts from court proceedings.
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Criminal complaints or indictments that are filed.
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 **Q.** Pleadings that are filed by the government in a criminal
- 14 case.
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Now, you testified that your opinion regarding Mr. Shafi
- 17 | is derived from your review of the evidence in this case,
- 18 correct?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And you've received evidence from the prosecutors in this
- 21 | case to review, correct?
- 22 A. Yes. Correct.
- 23 Q. And I think you mentioned 15 phone calls, for example.
- 24 Right?
- 25 A. Correct. Yes.

- 1 Q. And you reviewed those 15 phone calls, right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. Did you review any other phone calls beyond those 15 phone
- 4 calls?
- 5 A. No. I've reviewed what was provided to me.
- 6 Q. Okay. You mentioned some Google and YouTube searches that
- 7 | you reviewed. Did you review the entirety of Mr. Shafi's
- 8 Google and YouTube search history from 2014 or 2015?
- 9 A. No, I have not.
- 10 Q. You were asked a question about a photo on Mr. Shafi's
- 11 | phone, this "Status: Shaheed pending" photo. Did you review
- 12 | any other photos that were on Mr. Shafi's phone?
- 13 A. On the phone, I don't believe so.
- 14 Q. You mentioned that this is, I believe, the fourth time
- 15 you've testified as an expert witness?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 **Q.** Have you ever testified on behalf of a criminal defendant?
- 18 A. I have not.
- 19 Q. Now, you also testified about the role of dreams in some
- 20 of your research and some of the folks that you've studied. Do
- 21 | you remember that testimony?
- 22 A. Sure, yes.
- 23 | Q. Now, you're not a psychologist, right?
- 24 | A. I am not.
- 25 **Q.** You're not a psychiatrist?

A. I'm not.

- 2 Q. You're not a neuroscientist.
- 3 **A.** I'm not.
- 4 Q. You never interviewed Mr. Shafi, did you?
- 5 A. I have not.
- 6 Q. Is this your first time seeing him in person?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. I want to shift back to the GW program on extremism. Now,
- 9 your center is focused mostly on ISIS and ISIS-related
- 10 | mobilization in the United States, correct?
- 11 **A.** Jihadist in general.
- 12 Q. Okay. Has the program written any reports about the
- 13 | al-Nusrah Front specifically?
- 14 A. On al-Nusrah specifically, no.
- 15 **Q.** Your program produced a report called "The Travelers."
- 16 I'm sure you're familiar with that report?
- 17 A. Of course.
- 18 Q. My understanding is the center produced the report,
- 19 | although you didn't write it. Correct?
- 20 A. Of course.
- 21 Q. Of course, though, you supervised the writing of that
- 22 report.
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And in that report -- and you can correct me if I've got
- 25 | it wrong -- that report profiled approximately 64 individuals

- 1 from the United States who left the United States and actually
- 2 | made it to Syria to fight for a group.
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that of the people you
- 5 | profiled in that -- or, the center profiled in that report
- 6 under your -- in your report, 83 percent of those people linked
- 7 | up with ISIS, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 **Q.** And the remaining 17 percent linked up with all other
- 10 groups fighting within Syria.
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 **Q.** And there are obviously more groups than just ISIS and
- 13 | al-Nusrah Front operating in Syria, correct?
- 14 A. Yeah. Although I would say the vast majority of Americans
- 15 | join one of the two.
- 16 Q. Okay. But again, 83 percent of the folks profiled in that
- 17 report joined ISIS.
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. I wanted to ask you a few questions about Anwar al-Awlaki.
- 20 Now, you testified that not all of his lectures are violent or
- 21 | extremist, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And, in fact, he was a conservative but, you know, at
- 24 | least I quess you could call it maybe a mainstream Islamic aman
- in the United States at least in the late 1990s, let's say.

- 1 A. Yeah. I would say at least in the 2000s I think I would
- 2 have a different assessment. When it comes to the '90s, yes,
- 3 That's fair.
- 4 Q. Sure. And after 9/11, in fact, he was interviewed by
- 5 mainstream news organizations like the New York Times, for
- 6 example, in articles discussing sort of the 9/11 attacks and
- 7 | that sort of thing. Is that right?
- 8 A. Yes. Correct.
- 9 Q. You testified that you can -- it's pretty easy to get
- 10 | Anwar al-Awlaki lectures online, right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 **Q.** You can get them on YouTube?
- 13 A. Still now, yes.
- 14 Q. And you can easily download them on the internet.
- 15 **A.** Fairly easily.
- 16 Q. And I assume you yourself, you've listened to his lectures
- 17 for your research.
- 18 **A.** I have.
- 19 Q. Do you have the lectures saved on your computer?
- 20 **A.** Huh?
- 21 **Q.** Do you have any of his lectures saved on your computer?
- 22 **A.** I don't.
- 23 | Q. I want to shift gears and talk a little bit more about
- 24 | ISIS and the al-Nusrah Front within Syria.
- Now, you testified that around 2013 there was somewhat of

- 1 | a split between ISIS and the al-Nusrah Front. Do you recall
- 2 that?
- 3 A. Correct. Yes.
- 4 Q. And al-Baghdadi wanted to take credit for al-Nusrah's
- 5 success on the battlefield, al-Nusrah pledged allegiance, or
- 6 | whatever you want to call it, to al-Qaeda, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Now, that happened around 2013 according to your
- 9 testimony, right?
- 10 **A.** April. April 2013.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now, by 2015, ISIS and al-Nusrah Front were
- 12 essentially at war with each other. Is that a safe thing to
- 13 say?
- 14 A. Yes. Very much so.
- 15 **Q.** In fact, you've written in some of your literature that
- 16 | they were in a fratricidal war against each other, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. In 2015 ISIS had significantly more territory within Syria
- 19 | than the al-Nusrah Front, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. My understanding is by that time ISIS's capital, if you
- 22 | will, to kind of use a shorthand there, was in the city of
- 23 | Raqqah in Syria.
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And ISIS also had a large sort of base of operation in the

1 | Aleppo governorate. Correct?

- 2 A. Less.
- 3 Q. Less than Raqqah.
- 4 A. Yes, for sure.
- 5 **Q.** Now, in 2015 the al-Nusrah Front had some territory within
- 6 | Syria as well, right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. You testified I think it was southern and western Syria.
- 9 Right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. You mentioned in your testimony this Idlib. In the news
- 12 | recently there's some discussion of Idlib, right?
- Okay. Now, you're aware that in early June of 2015,
- 14 | al-Nusrah took control, essentially, of the Idlib governorate,
- 15 right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. Ms. Cruz, can you pull up Exhibit 114, please? This has
- 18 been admitted into evidence.
- 19 I'm going to show you a map that's been admitted into
- 20 evidence.
- MR. FAKHOURY: May I publish to the jury, Your Honor?
- 22 This has been admitted.
- THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.
- 24 BY MR. FAKHOURY:
- 25 **Q.** Do you see a map there on the screen in front of you?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And do you -- I know you can't really -- it's a little
- 3 | hard to do this, but we're going to try our best.
- 4 Do you see Idlib referenced in that box of locations that
- 5 says "ID and location"? Is Idlib on that list?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Could you just generally describe where Idlib would be
- 8 | located on this map?
- 9 A. Not too far from Aleppo, basically.
- 10 Q. Okay. Ms. Cruz, can you go to the next page, please?
- 11 Could you identify where Idlib is on this map?
- 12 A. Not too far. Basically, if you go -- well, how do I do
- 13 | it?
- 14 Q. I know it's a little hard.
- 15 | A. Well, if you go southwest from Aleppo, you're almost on
- 16 it. Almost on it. Oh, you're on it.
- 17 Yeah. You were.
- 18 Q. We have a bigger cutout. Let's try it that way.
- 19 A. Anyway, if you take a highway south of Aleppo -- there you
- 20 go. You take that.
- 21 Q. So we've zoomed in here on where Idlib is, then, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 **Q.** You can take that down, Ms. Cruz. Thank you.
- Now by 2015, in addition to the fact that ISIS had more
- 25 | territory than the al-Nusrah Front, ISIS had a lot more

- 1 | fighters or members, however you want to describe them, than
- 2 | the al-Nusrah Front as well. Is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes. That's the common assumption. Probably fair, yes.
- 4 Q. Is it -- I've seen, you know, some reports -- and you can
- 5 | correct me if I'm wrong -- that in 2015 ISIS had between 30 and
- 6 50 thousand members, or whatever you want to call them, in
- 7 | Syria. Does that sound about right to you?
- 8 A. Yes. Those are the numbers given by the United Nations
- 9 That's a fair number.
- 10 Q. And the Nusrah Front in 2015 had about 5,000 fighters.
- 11 **A.** Probably. Yes, much smaller force, for sure.
- 12 \ Q. You testified a little bit about Gaziantep in Turkey.
- 13 Now, obviously, the Syrian civil war created a significant
- 14 refugee crisis. You're aware of that, obviously?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. And obviously, a lot of Syrians were displaced and many of
- 17 | them tried to make their way into Turkey, right?
- 18 A. Giant refugee population in Turkey.
- 19 Q. Gaziantep itself has a giant refugee population, right?
- 20 A. Very big.
- 21 Q. Now, you testified a little bit about some of the
- 22 differences in tactics between ISIS and al-Nusrah. I
- 23 | understand that their ideology's probably essentially the same,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. But in your words, ISIS is a little bit more showy, right?
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. And al-Nusrah is a little bit more deliberate, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And that's -- you testified about that being the case with
- 6 respect to their approach to violence, for example.
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Now it's also true with respect to their recruitment
- 9 approach, right?
- 10 A. Not -- the propaganda side of it, yes. I think when it
- 11 comes to recruitment the one difference I would say is that
- 12 | al-Nusrah, with major exception, tended to be somewhat more
- 13 | conservative in who they let in. ISIS was really, especially
- 14 in 2013-14, accepting everybody. Al-Qaeda was slightly more
- 15 | selective -- sorry -- al-Nusrah was slightly more selective.
- 16 With major exceptions, though.
- 17 Q. Sure. So when you just explained a second ago you said
- 18 ISIS would take anyone.
- 19 A. Almost.
- 20 Q. Almost anyone. You mean by that -- and if you don't, tell
- 21 | me -- you mean by that that anybody who claims to be a member
- 22 of ISIS, or does an act in support of ISIS, they get to be
- 23 | considered part of ISIS, right? Is that a safe way to say it?
- 24 A. Well -- no, not necessarily.
- 25 **Q.** Okay.

A. I would say that works for people who carry out attacks in the west. The way it's worked for the last few years is many people who have carried out attacks in the west, they had no operational connection whatsoever to ISIS, but ISIS is very happy to claim that attack and to claim it. And so of whom they had no knowledge whatsoever until the day carried out the attack was a soldier of the caliphate, was a member of ISIS.

That's a different thing from traveling to Syria and joining ISIS. Yes, it was a fairly open group, particularly in the beginning of the conflict. But it's not like you and I could show up and simply say, Hi, we want to join, and we would join. There would still be some selection, some vetting process. I would say different from the ultra selective group at, let's say, al-Qaeda was in the 2000s. So that was a bit of a change. But -- yeah.

- Q. Okay. So to clarify, if somebody wanted to join ISIS in Syria, regardless of how permissive ISIS is in latching on to individuals who do attacks, if you actually got to their territory there would be a vetting process?
- 20 A. Yes. Correct.

- **Q.** And your testimony is also that with respect to al-Nusrah they were even more conservative than ISIS in that regard?
- 23 A. Generally speaking, yes.
- Q. You testified a little bit about the use of online tools and the use of the internet by groups like both ISIS and

1 al-Nusrah Front. And you testified that the center, the GW 2 Center, actually has individuals who are studying the platforms

- of Twitter and Telegram, right? 3
 - Correct. Α.

4

8

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- You know, we've heard some references to Telegram in this 5 Q. trial but sounds like you know it probably a little bit better 6 than everybody else given your research. Can you explain what 7 exactly Telegram is?
- Telegram is an app that anybody can download on their 9 phone. It uses end-to-end encryption, meaning that it's very 10 difficult for law enforcement to know what goes on, what 11 12 conversation's going on. Basically, the way it works, there are channels inside Telegram and you join a certain channel. 13 To join some you have to have the green light from the people 14 who administer that channel. And, basically, it works in a way 15 like -- sort of like a chat room, but slightly more 16 sophisticated and more encrypted chat room. 17
 - Okay. And why does the GW Center have individuals or 0. researchers looking at these programs like Twitter or telegraph?
 - Because that's probably one of the best ways in Sure. which one studies a certain community. Radicalization happens both online and offline. But the online component is a fairly big one. So we're not intrusive, we're not active on this platform, we don't engage other places. Other research centers

```
1
               We don't for a variety of reasons. But we passively
     do that.
 2
     monitor what happens, the conversations that happen.
          It's important to get a pulse of a certain community which
 3
     is by definition, by nature, very secretive. We try to get a
 4
     sense of what they're talking about, how people talk about
 5
     certain issues, how they react to world events, what the
 6
     dynamics of that community are.
 7
         And by "community," you're referring to a community of
 8
     individuals who are sympathetic to these groups.
 9
          Correct. Works as any other community of cigar
10
     aficionados, or antique cars you find people who coalesce on a
11
12
     certain platform to talk about their interests. Obviously, in
     this case, it's jihadist ideologies.
13
              THE COURT: Is this a good time to take a break?
14
              MR. FAKHOURY:
                             Sure.
15
              THE COURT: We'll take our first break. We'll be back
16
     at quarter of 10. So please remember the admonitions.
17
                       (Recess taken at 9:32 a.m.)
18
                    (Proceedings resumed at 9:46 a.m.)
19
20
              THE COURT: All right. Please be seated, everybody.
          Mr. Fakhoury?
21
22
              MR. FAKHOURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
     BY MR. FAKHOURY:
         Dr. Vidino, before we broke we were discussing online
24
```

tools like Twitter and Telegram?

A. Correct.

- 2 Q. Now, you testified that in addition to these sort of
- 3 online communication tools, the groups are very good about
- 4 putting out propaganda like videos and that sort of thing.
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And, in fact, the groups put out sort of like travel
- 7 guides on how to reach their territory or join the group. Are
- 8 | you familiar with some of those?
- 9 A. Some do, yes. ISIS, mostly.
- 10 **Q.** I'm sorry?
- 11 **A.** ISIS, mostly, has done that.
- 12 Q. You're familiar ISIS has a travel guide called Hijra to
- 13 | the Islamic State. Right?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 **Q.** That's like a 50-page PDF report that kind of gives you
- 16 | step-by-step instructions on how to -- recommendations on how
- 17 to reach their territory.
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And that guide actually has a list of Twitter contacts
- 20 within it for people who are interested in reaching the
- 21 | territory. Sort of like here are people you can reach out to
- 22 online who may be able to help you get across.
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. Now in your research, you've testified and written about
- 25 | the fact that typically -- oftentimes what happens is you see

1 people migrate from open communication platforms into more

encrypted communication platforms. Do you recall that?

3 A. Correct. Yes.

- 4 Q. So -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- but here's an easy
- 5 example of that, in my view. And you can correct me if I'm
- 6 wrong. But an open communication platform would be like
- 7 | somebody using Facebook or using a regular email program.
- 8 Would that be safe to say?
- 9 A. Facebook. Facebook.
- 10 Q. Facebook? Okay. And then to migrate their communication
- 11 | from an open platform like Facebook into one of these more
- 12 | encrypted platforms like Telegram, for example?
- 13 **A.** Correct. Or the ones where you have direct messaging
- 14 one-to-one. More encrypted. Snapchat or Wickr or Silent
- 15 | Circle.
- 16 **Q.** KIK?
- 17 **A.** KIK. Absolutely.
- 18 Q. Now, I believe you testified that when it comes to a
- 19 | concept like radicalization, there isn't really an accepted
- 20 definition of what that term means, right?
- 21 A. Correct. Like terrorism. You're always going to have
- 22 debates on exactly what the elements are. I think there's a
- 23 | core aspect most people can agree on, but there's no commonly
- 24 accepted definition.
- 25 | Q. And it's pretty hard to do scientific method research on

- 1 | these concepts, right? You can't create a control group or you
- 2 can't tinker with variables to see if that changes the outcome.
- 3 A. Well, I would say obviously there's a -- it is a social
- 4 | science. Obviously, you don't have the same level of
- 5 precision, the empirical data is not as easy to have as for
- 6 | medical experiments, for example. Obviously, it's more
- 7 difficult. Control group is more difficult to obtain, so on
- 8 and so forth. But that doesn't mean there's no methodology in
- 9 how the studies are done.
- 10 Q. Sure. Now, you've written radicalization is a complex and
- 11 | highly individualized process. Right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And you've written that it's actually poorly understood,
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And you've also written that there's essentially no single
- 17 | explanation for why a person would radicalize. Right?
- 18 A. Absolutely.
- 19 Q. You've also testified there's no one profile of who is
- 20 | susceptible to radicalization, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. It could be -- I think you testified sort of any
- 23 demographic is at risk.
- 24 **A.** That's the cases we've seen in the United States. It's
- 25 | really from the 15 year old to the 55 year old; from the Ph.D.

- 1 student to the high school dropout, petty criminal.
- 2 | Q. And sort of related to that you testified about acquiring
- 3 knowledge through different sources. And again correct me if
- 4 I'm wrong. But you testified that people can -- who under your
- 5 | methodology are radicalized can obtain their news from a
- 6 mainstream news press or from a more propaganda-looking type of
- 7 | news source. Right?
- 8 A. Correct. And generally, it's all of the above.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, you testified that radicalization and
- 10 | mobilization are distinct concepts, right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 **Q.** Not everyone who radicalizes mobilizes, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And vice versa. Right?
- 15 **A.** That's more debatable. Definitely clear, but not
- 16 | everybody that embraces radical ideas, including an acceptance
- 17 of violence, will then make the leap into action. There's a
- 18 | line of thinking that also postulates that people who have
- 19 | mobilized haven't really accepted radical ideas. I think
- 20 | That's more debatable.
- 21 Q. Okay. Now, would you say it's safe to say that there are
- 22 | more people who have radicalized ideas than there are people
- 23 who act on them?
- 24 A. Yes. That's a correct assumption.
- 25 **Q.** By a significant margin?

- 1 A. Difficult to say. I think it's a fair statement to make.
- 2 Q. Okay. Now, you testified about -- using a travel analogy
- 3 about first class, business class, and economy class. And I
- 4 | wanted to talk a little bit about that.
- 5 And it sounds like in terms of with respect to the
- 6 | al-Nusrah Front, specifically, there are essentially no
- 7 | first-class type travelers.
- 8 A. No, there are a couple.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. That in the United States there are only a
- 10 handful.
- 11 **A.** A handful, yes. Very prominent case in San Diego.
- 12 | Someone was very well connected. But, yes, it's anecdotal.
- 13 Really a handful of cases, people who have those
- 14 long-established connections. First-class, yes.
- 15 | Q. Okay. The second category was what you called business
- 16 class, right? And that was individuals who developed their
- 17 | connections to the group before they leave the United States.
- 18 Right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And by "connections to the group," you mean they're
- 21 | reaching out to facilitators or recruiters or somebody who can
- 22 | help get them into the territory in Syria.
- 23 A. Correct. It's getting into the territory and getting into
- 24 | the group. Because it's not just about crossing the border
- 25 | from Turkey to Syria, it's also -- it's even more getting

1 accepted by the group. So it's the two of them, yeah. 2 have a fair amount of people in the United States that manage to establish those connections online through the platforms 3 that you were mentioning earlier. You establish that 4 connection. It could be stronger, it could be weaker. 5 Generally, you get a phone number, you get a name. You kind of 6 7 got to work it a bit. Once you get to Turkey you still get vetted quite a bit. But you don't leave without any kind of 8 knowledge of what's going to happen once you get there. 9 have at least a hook, some kind of connection. 10 Now, you just testified that there's a difference between 11 12 getting into Syria and getting -- you know, becoming a member or part of the group on the ground. 13 Correct. 14 Α. And you've talked a little about a vetting process. 15 curious. Can you kind of explain to us what this vetting 16 process generally consists of? 17 To some degree a bit difficult empirically, because of 18 course the information we have is fairly limited on what 19 20 happens there. It's easier to know what happens here. Once you get into Syrian territory you have to rely on the few 21

stories that have been told by people who left. And we

Syria to fight, and came back.

interviewed some people who -- returnees, people who went to

Basically, some of those individuals were tested for --

22

23

24

basically interrogated by recruiters from these groups. They
were asked where they're from, they were given a variety -they were not trusted from the beginning. They were put in
sort of processing facilities, if you will, where they were
undergoing training, they're undergoing indoctrination. But it
was very clear that the organization was still keeping an eye
on them and not letting them completely into the group.

- Q. Okay. And there are -- are you aware of individuals who started that vetting process but have not been accepted into the group after they've started that process?
- A. Those cases people got killed because they were suspected of being spies. And they were killed. Of course, the information gets a bit fuzzy once you get to that -- there are many reports of people who did not pass the vetting, and in most cases rejection there means you're seen as a spy and you get killed.
- Q. Now, the third category you talked about was this economy class traveler where -- and you testified that they don't have the connection at the time that they travel. Right?
- 20 A. Right.

- Q. Now, it's my understanding that, from your testimony, that they may travel to Turkey, let's say, but while they're in Turkey they are going to have to create that connection to go through that vetting process. Right?
- 25 A. Correct.

642

```
So it's not like there's any way to avoid the
 1
     Q.
          Okay.
 2
     vetting process.
          To get into the group, no. At some point you have to have
 3
     Α.
     some kind of vetting, of course.
 4
 5
             MR. FAKHOURY: Can I have a minute, Your Honor?
          (Pause.)
 6
              MR. FAKHOURY: I have no further questions.
 7
     you, Dr. Vidino.
 8
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you. Any redirect?
             MS. LaPUNZINA: Nothing further, Your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: All right, Dr. Vidino. You're excused.
11
12
     Thank you.
                            (Witness excused.)
13
              MR. HASIB: Your Honor, the government is about to
14
     rest its case.
                     The one thing I'd like to bring to the Court's
15
     attention is the exhibit that we talked about this morning,
16
     Exhibit 144. I'd like an opportunity to publish that to the
17
     jury. Simply put it on the Elmo and turn through the pages,
18
19
     unless Your Honor requires us to call a witness. We can put
20
     Special Agent Reinke back up there. But like permission to
21
     show Exhibit 144 to the jury.
22
              THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen, two things. One,
23
     back towards the beginning of the case the list of
```

translations, Exhibit 33, I had conditionally admitted. And I

am now fully admitting that so that you can use it during the

24

```
Case 3:15-cr-00582-WHO
                                                        Page 72 of 182
```

course -- if you end up listening to any of the recordings. 1 2 think it would be helpful. It doesn't -- it is specifically the words that were used 3 on the recordings. It doesn't deal with words that may be in 4 the rest of the exhibits. 5 But, anyway, Exhibit 33 is in evidence. 6 (Trial Exhibit 33 received in evidence) 7 THE COURT: And then on Friday -- Thursday or 8 Friday -- you heard evidence on Exhibit 144 which is the texts 9 that Mr. Hasib is about to show you. And those I'm now -- I 10 have admitted into evidence. 11 12 So go ahead, Mr. Hasib. MR. HASIB: There are ten pages. I'll go through each 13 one of them. 14 15 First, Exhibit 144-001. Next, Exhibit 144-002. 16 17 And next, Exhibit 144-003. And next, Exhibits 144-004, 5 and 6. That's 4 and 5 on 18 19 the screen now. 20 And moving down to Exhibit 6. 21 And then Exhibits 7 and 8. 144-7 and 8. 22 Turning to 144, Exhibit 9. 23 And lastly, 144-Exhibit 10, which is a long series, so I'll show the top half and then the bottom half, Your Honor. 24 And then we have the bottom of that page, Your Honor. 25

And with that, Your Honor, the government rests. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. MR. FAKHOURY: Could we have a quick sidebar, Your 3 Honor? 4 THE COURT: 5 Yes. (The following proceedings were heard at the sidebar:) 6 MR. FAKHOURY: As we were going through that exhibit, 7 it occurred to me that, number one, there's Arabic on it as to 8 who those text messages were sent to. And so we just had all 9 this testimony from Dr. Vidino about contacts with -- the need 10 to make contacts. And those text messages are with -- at least 11 12 I think the majority of them are with Saleem Karim and Abdul Karim Niazi. And there were text messages with one other 13 individual. And as far as we've seen from the evidence, and 14 there's been no allegation by the government otherwise, none of 15 those individuals are members of al-Nusrah or any other 16 terrorist organization or have any contact with those groups. 17 So I think that there needs to be some -- maybe some --18 probably what we should have done is had a live witness so we 19 20 can cross-examine or get that point across. I think we should 21 try to address that. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to stipulate to those 23 facts that the communications are with people in the

United States who were not connected with al-Nusrah?

MR. HASIB:

I think we are absolutely prepared to

24

```
1
     stipulate that the texts were with Abdul Qadar Niazi, Saleem
 2
     Karim, who I think are the two people -- are co-conspirators.
     The third person involved in those text messages is someone
 3
     name SA. His initials are SA. I think Court gave a limiting
 4
     instruction when Special Agent Reinke was on the stand.
 5
     were admissible only to show the contexts of Mr. Shafi's
 6
     replies. I think we've already addressed this.
 7
         As for the fact they're written in Arabic, it is what it
 8
         That's the exhibit that we offered. I'm stuck with the
 9
     is.
     fact that, you know, the names -- Mr. Fakhoury and I can
10
     probably read them, but the jury won't be able to. I'm just
11
12
     going to have to argue it at closing this is what Special Agent
     Reinke testified to.
13
             MR. FAKHOURY: But is there any problem for you to
14
     stipulate now given that we've just gone through this document
15
     that are -- the Arabic written, who the texts are with?
16
             MR. HASIB: No. We'll stipulate. I'm sorry, Your
17
     Honor.
18
          (Off-the-record discussion between Mr. Hasib and Ms.
19
20
     LaPunzina.)
21
             MR. HASIB:
                         With -- yeah. We'll stipulate that those
22
     three individuals are the ones that are in these text messages.
     Abdul Qadar Niazi, Saleem Karim, and the third person named SA.
23
              THE COURT: All right. Does that satisfy?
24
```

MR. MATTHEWS: As long as it's clear that SA is not a

1 member of some known terrorist organization. 2 MR. HASIB: Yes. Third person in the United States 3 was not a co-conspirator, known only as SA. MR. FAKHOURY: The second thing, Your Honor, just for 4 the record, we do make a motion under Rule 29 since the 5 government has rested. And then I'm happy to address it if the 6 Court wants. 7 THE COURT: No. I was going to deem it made. And if 8 there's anything you want to do for the record we can do it 9 after --10 MR. FAKHOURY: No, that's fine. And then the third 11 12 issue is just -- so now it's our case, and I wanted to know what the order. 13 MR. MATTHEWS: What we did is I provided notes on all 14 They have the names already. And to assist 15 the witnesses. them, due diligence on the witness, we provided notes on the 16 witnesses prior. If that helps. Because we would like to try 17

MS. LaPUNZINA: It helps, thank you. We're not quite ready to go forward with them.

to do it in order if possible.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: How much time do you need? Because I could take an early break now and give you, you know, 20 minutes or something. Half an hour.

MS. LaPUNZINA: I was going to ask for 30, Your Honor.

MR. MATTHEWS: That would be great. We created the

```
647
```

```
issue.
             That would be fine.
 1
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
 3
              MS. LaPUNZINA:
                              Thank you.
 4
              MR. HASIB:
                          We'll stipulate.
                          We'll put the stipulation on.
 5
              THE COURT:
          (The following proceedings were heard in open court:)
 6
              THE COURT: Our fabulous new technology is keeping
 7
     this noise machine on for the moment. We're rebooting the
 8
     system and we'll be with you momentarily.
 9
          Mr. Hasib, given what we're doing why don't we --
10
          Ladies and gentlemen, despite the white noise that you're
11
12
     hearing, we're about to -- there's a stipulation that the
     parties have entered into, and then I'm going to give you an
13
     early break of half an hour before the defense begins its case.
14
15
          But Mr. Hasib, the stipulation.
               The stipulation before the break that we just
16
17
     discussed. With respect to Exhibit --
              MR. HASIB:
18
                          144.
                          And speak very loudly.
19
              THE COURT:
20
                          I should be able to turn it off in a
              THE CLERK:
21
     second.
22
              MR. HASIB: Best laid plans of mice and men, Your
23
             Perhaps it would be best if we took the break then read
     Honor.
     the stipulation.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen -- can
25
```

```
1
     everybody hear me?
 2
          (Some jurors indicating in the negative.)
              THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, can you all hear me
 3
 4
     now? Okay.
          The prosecution has rested its case. Now it's time for
 5
     the defendant to put on its case, and this is a good time to
 6
     take a break so that we can reorder the courtroom.
 7
          So we're going to take a 30-minute break now, and then the
 8
     defense is putting its case on. As you might anticipate, the
 9
     case is moving along with good speed. So you can use these 30
10
     minutes to your best advantage, which means don't talk about
11
12
     the case. Don't communicate in any way about this. The case
     is -- a lot of evidence has been presented to you.
13
     still more to come. Very important that you keep an open mind
14
     through this entire process.
15
          But with that we'll take a 30-minute break and be back at
16
     quarter of 11.
17
                       (Recess taken at 10:15 a.m.)
18
                   (Proceedings resumed at 10:47 a.m.).
19
20
              THE COURT: All right. Please be seated, everybody.
21
          Mr. Hasib, the stipulation.
22
              MR. HASIB: Yes, Your Honor. The parties have entered
23
     into a stipulation that Government Exhibit 144, which was just
     published to the jury, contains texts -- or, text messages
24
```

between the defendant and Abdul Qadar Niazi, the defendant and

1 Saleem Karim, and the defendant and a person in the

2 United States known as Shershah Aryobi.

With that Your Honor, the government rests.

Yes. The defense calls Matt Keenan. MR. MATTHEWS:

MATTHEW KEENAN,

- called as a witness for the Defendant, having been duly sworn, 6
- 7 testified as follows:
- THE CLERK: Please state your full name for the record 8
- and spell it for the court reporter. 9
- THE WITNESS: Matthew Lewis Keenan. M-A-T-T-H-E-W, 10
- L-E-W-I-S, Keenan, K-E-E-N-A-N. 11
- 12 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**
- BY MR. MATTHEWS: 13
- Morning, Mr. Keenan. 14 Q.
- Good morning. 15 Α.
- Let's begin with a little bit about you. Where do you 16
- 17 live?

3

4

- Beautiful, exotic Fremont, California. 18 Α.
- And how old are you? 19 Q.
- 20 25. Α.
- 21 Are you studying anything? Or are you out in the Q.
- 22 workforce right now?
- 23 I'm currently enrolled at San Jose State in the rec
- 24 therapy program.
- 25 Can you briefly describe what the rec therapy program is? Q.

- 1 A. I'm training to become a certified rec therapist, which is
- 2 | similar to PT or OT, but more of a focus on recreation-building
- 3 | function and stuff like that after injuries and such.
- 4 Q. Okay. You used a couple of abbreviations. Am I correct
- 5 | that PT is physical therapy and OT would be occupational
- 6 therapy?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you know Mr. Adam Shafi?
- 9 **A.** I do.
- 10 Q. Where did you meet?
- 11 A. High school. We first had a few classes together in
- 12 | freshman year. That's when I really got to know him. I knew
- 13 | him a little bit in junior high, but classes in high school.
- 14 Q. And was that at Mission High School?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. Where is Mission High School located?
- 17 A. Fremont, California.
- 18 Q. About what year were you freshman in high school with
- 19 Mr. Shafi?
- 20 A. I graduated in 2011. So quick math. 2007.
- 21 Q. And did you have any classes together?
- 22 A. Yes. We had PE and health.
- 23 | Q. And did you remain a classmate or fellow student of
- 24 Mr. Shafi after high school?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

KEENAN - DIRECT / MATTHEWS

- 1 Q. And where was that?
- 2 A. Ohlone College. We both went there. I didn't have any
- 3 classes with him, but --
- 4 Q. Okay. Ohlone College is a community college?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Is that a Fremont, as well?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you have any occasion at any time to engage in any
- 9 outdoor activities with Mr. Shafi?
- 10 A. I went on several backpacking trips with Adam.
- 11 Q. Let me focus on the first trip. When was the first trip
- 12 | that you went on a backpacking trip with him?
- 13 **A.** 2012.
- 14 Q. And where did you go?
- 15 **A.** We did the Skyline-to-Sea Trail. Starts in the Santa Cruz
- 16 mountains, goes down to the beach.
- 17 | Q. And how many people were involved in this particular
- 18 | camping episode?
- 19 **A.** There was four of us on that trip.
- 20 **Q.** How long were you out camping?
- 21 A. Three days.
- 22 **Q.** And how did it work out?
- 23 | A. It was a good learning experience. One of our first
- 24 | backpacking trips. We kind of ran out of water, got a lot of
- 25 | blisters, that kind of thing.

- Q. In terms of equipment were you well prepared?
- 2 **A.** Not really. Not too well prepared.
- 3 Q. Did you learn how to become well prepared after that trip?
- 4 A. Yeah, a few. Took a few times, but --
- 5 Q. Was Mr. Shafi well prepared for the trip?
- 6 A. On that trip I would say he was about as equally
- 7 unprepared as the rest of us.
- 8 Q. Okay. Then you said there was a second trip, is that
- 9 correct?

- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. And when did you guys take that trip?
- 12 A. Sometime in 2013 we went to Big Sur, the Sykes Hot
- 13 | Springs.
- 14 Q. Big Sur, that's the area south -- or, just north of
- 15 Monterrey?
- 16 A. Yeah. It's off of Highway 1.
- 17 Q. When I said "you guys," how many --
- 18 A. Four of us again.
- 19 Q. Was it the same four as on the previous --
- 20 A. I believe so, yeah.
- 21 Q. Okay. We need to make sure that we don't talk over each
- 22 other so the court reporter can get it down.
- 23 So just so the record's clear, the same four people went
- 24 on the trip to Big Sur as were on the previous trip that you
- 25 mentioned in 2012. Correct?

KEENAN - DIRECT / MATTHEWS

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. And were you better prepared for the second trip? The one
- 3 to Big Sur?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. Did you have occasion to take a trip after that?
- 6 A. Yes. We -- it was a duo trip. So, Henry Coe. Me and
- 7 Adam went.
- 8 Q. I'm sorry. That was Henry Coe?
- 9 A. Henry Coe, which is east of Gilroy.
- 10 **Q.** And what is Henry Coe?
- 11 | A. It's a state park. Very large state park east of Gilroy.
- 12 | Q. Mr. Keenan, I'm going to show you an exhibit that's
- 13 | already in evidence.
- Counsel, this is Exhibit 64, I believe. And it's Bates
- 15 number AS-97.
- 16 Ms. Cruz, if you would please publish that?
- 17 MR. MATTHEWS: Approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 18 **THE COURT:** Yes. Go ahead.
- 19 BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- 20 Q. Mr. Keenan, please take a look at this exhibit and tell me
- 21 | if you recognize any items in this picture from the previous
- 22 | trips that you may have taken with Mr. Shafi and your friends.
- 23 A. Yes. So I recognize this pot.
- 24 Q. Okay. Let's slow down a little bit because you can't
- 25 point to a screen. So when you say "pot," are you speaking of

KEENAN - DIRECT / MATTHEWS

- 1 a container with a top in the lower left-hand side of the
- 2 photo?
- 3 A. Yes. The aluminum pot in the lower left-hand side.
- 4 Q. Okay. What else do you recognize from a previous trip
- 5 | with Mr. Shafi?
- 6 A. I recognize the whistle with the yellow lanyard.
- 7 **Q.** Okay. Anything else?
- 8 A. The cup with the -- looks like some tea in it.
- 9 **Q.** So that would be a tea bag?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. And that's the black cup that's just above what looks like
- 12 | a flat plastic container that says "canteen" on it?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, there is an item immediately to the right of that
- 15 | cup. Do you know what that item is?
- 16 A. Yeah. It's a water filter. One of our fellow backpacking
- 17 | friends would bring a LifeStraw. That was his preferred
- 18 filter.
- 19 Q. And the canteen below it, what can you tell us about that
- 20 canteen?
- 21 A. Well, that was -- we were always looking into good ways to
- 22 | store water. Because our first trip we actually ran out of
- 23 | water. So that's just a -- you know, pack flat, looks like a
- 24 | liter-capacity water bottle.
- 25 Q. Thanks. Now, when was the last time that you actually saw

KEENAN - CROSS / LAPUNZINA

- 1 Mr. Shafi?
- 2 **A.** I believe it was 2014 during the Henry Coe trip.
- 3 | Q. Okay. And have you had any further communication with him
- 4 | after that?
- 5 **A.** No.
- 6 Q. At any point in 2014 did you move out of the area?
- 7 A. Yes. I moved up to Humboldt for school.
- 8 Q. Okay. And when did you move?
- 9 A. It was spring semester of 2014. So I actually took some
- 10 | time off between then to travel in New Zealand.
- 11 Q. I know that I asked you whether you'd seen Mr. Shafi since
- 12 then. Have you had any further communication or any form of
- 13 communication whatsoever with Mr. Shafi after 2014 after you
- 14 moved out of the area?
- 15 **A.** Not that I can recall, or anything notable.
- 16 MR. MATTHEWS: Very well. No further questions, Your
- 17 Honor.

20

- 18 **THE COURT:** All right. Any cross-examination?
- 19 MS. LaPUNZINA: Yes, Your Honor.

<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>

- 21 BY MS. LaPUNZINA:
- 22 Q. Good morning.
- 23 A. Good morning.
- 24 Q. Your testimony this morning about Mr. Shafi is based on
- 25 | your relationship with him when you were in school, is that

KEENAN - CROSS / LAPUNZINA

- 1 right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. And your relationship with him on a few backpacking trips,
- 4 is that right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Were any of the other people who were on the backpacking
- 7 | trip with you, were they Saleem Karim or Abdul Qadar Niazi?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Those weren't two of the four people who went backpacking
- 10 with you?
- 11 A. No. Not with all of us.
- 12 Q. And you've had no contact whatsoever with Mr. Shafi since
- 13 | your hiking trip in Henry Coe park in the spring of 2014.
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 \ Q. So you know nothing about his thought process since the
- 16 | last time you guys went backpacking together.
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 MS. LaPUNZINA: I have no further questions. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 **THE COURT:** All right.
- 21 MR. MATTHEWS: No redirect, Your Honor. And he may be
- 22 excused.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Keenan. Thank you.
- 24 (Witness excused.)
- MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, the defense calls Noah

1 Shafi.

NOAH SHAFI,

- 3 | called as a witness for the Defendant, having been duly sworn,
- 4 testified as follows:
- 5 THE CLERK: Please be seated. And if you would please
- 6 state your full name for the record and spell it for the court
- 7 reporter.

- 8 THE WITNESS: Noah Shafi. N-O-A-H, S-H-A-F-I.
 - DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- 11 Q. Morning, Mr. Shafi.
- 12 A. Good morning.
- 13 Q. Let's begin with a little bit of your background. What
- 14 | kind of work do you do?
- 15 **A.** I'm a software engineer.
- 16 Q. And for how long have you been a software engineer?
- 17 **A.** For six years.
- 18 **Q.** And where do you presently work?
- 19 A. I work at a company called Get Together.
- 20 **Q.** And what does Get Together do?
- 21 **A.** Get Together is a mobile app that facilitates people
- 22 getting together in real life.
- 23 | Q. During your six-year tenure, for want of a better word, as
- 24 | a software engineer, is most of what you've been doing related
- 25 | to developing applications?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. Mobile applications?
- 3 A. Mobile and web.
- 4 Q. Are you related to Adam Shafi?
- 5 **A.** I am.
- 6 Q. And how are you related?
- 7 | A. I'm his cousin.
- 8 Q. What side of the family would you be a cousin on?
- 9 A. My dad's side.
- 10 **Q.** And who is your father?
- 11 A. Omar Shafi.
- 12 **Q.** And he is the brother of whom?
- 13 A. Of Sal Shafi.
- 14 Q. When you say "Sal Shafi," are you speaking of Salama Shafi
- 15 who is Mr. Shafi's father?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. As a cousin, I can safely assume that you're pretty
- 18 | familiar with Mr. Shafi's family because of your extended
- 19 family as well, correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. How many siblings does Mr. Shafi have? And I'm assuming
- 22 -- when I say "Mr. Shafi," I'm speaking about Adam Shafi.
- 23 **A.** Okay. He has four siblings. Right?
- 24 Q. One of his brothers is Ramsey? Is that correct?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. Another one is Ismail, sometimes called Izze?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 | Q. He has a younger brother named Gabriel, sometimes referred
- 4 to as Goo-Goo?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And a sister -- I believe her name is Nora, correct?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. I want to focus your attention on the summer of 2014, kind
- 9 of late June, early July. Do you have that time period in
- 10 mind?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. Where were you living at that time?
- 13 **A.** I was living with my parents in Lafayette.
- 14 Q. And were you out of school.
- 15 **A.** Yes. It was summer break.
- 16 Q. Okay. Where were you in school at the time?
- 17 A. I was at UC Berkeley.
- 18 Q. Were you working?
- 19 **A.** I was working on a personal project that summer.
- 20 **Q.** What was the personal project?
- 21 A. It was a web app that was, basically, like a stub hub type
- 22 of thing where you could sell tickets for events online.
- 23 | Q. Do you write the codes for any of the apps that you're
- 24 | developing?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. When I say "codes," I mean computer codes.
- 2 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 3 Q. How long have you been coding?
- 4 A. I've been coding for six years.
- 5 Q. As a cousin of the family, do the families interact quite
- 6 a bit?
- 7 **A.** Yeah.
- 8 Q. You've had a number of occasions to go to Mr. Shafi's
- 9 family house, and vice versa, and engage in, you know, events
- 10 and dinners and things of that sort?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. During the course of these family interactions, did it
- 13 come to your attention at some point that Adam Ramsey and --
- 14 excuse me -- Adam Shafi and Ramsey Shafi were interested in
- 15 | learning about coding?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. Did they at any time visit your parents' house to get some
- 18 instruction?
- 19 A. Yes, they did. That summer of 2014.
- 20 Q. And when you say "that summer," are you speaking in the
- 21 | late June, early July time frame?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 | Q. All right. Did they come to you for the purpose of
- 24 | learning that coding?
- 25 **A.** Yeah.

NOAH SHAFI - DIRECT /

- 1 Now, explain a little bit about how one would go about Q.
- 2 teaching it. What do you do?
- Well, most of it is, you know, googlable. So you -- there 3 Α.
- are certain sites where you can go and start and get going. 4
- And then after that, you kind of got to -- well, for them, they 5
- came to me so I was able to teach them, as well. But a lot of 6
- 7 it is certain sites where you can start learning and, you know,
- googling things when you get stuck. 8
- All right. When you teach coding, is there a preference 9
- as to the type of hardware that you learn on or teach on? 10
- Well, yes. And especially in this instance. If -- for 11 Α.
- 12 me, someone who knows how it works on a Macintosh, a Mac
- computer, teaching them is a lot more helpful for having a Mac 13
- computer. And also, Mac is generally the standard for coding, 14
- especially in the bay area. 15
- When you say Mac, Macintosh, or MacBook, you're referring 16
- to the computers that are made by Apple? 17
- Yes. 18 Α.
- So you said at some point that Mr. Shafi and his brother, 19
- 20 Ramsey, showed up at your house for their first lesson, I
- 21 guess.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Did they come with Macs or did they come with PC's? Q.
- They came with PC's. 24 Α.
- 25 Once you learned they had come with PC's instead of Macs, Q.

- 1 did you give them any feedback on the whole PC versus Mac
- 2 learning experience?
- I told them it would probably be a little bit 3 Α.
- harder to get going on the PC, but we could try. And we did 4
- try at first with the PC's. 5
- Okay. So you at first try to do your coding instruction 6
- on the PC's that Mr. Shafi and his brother Ramsey brought to 7
- the house, correct? 8
- Yeah. 9 Α.
- How did it work? 10
- The progress was incremental and it was a lot harder. 11 Α.
- 12 at some point we decided to -- I had some old MacBooks and we
- decided to try to get those out to -- for them to start 13
- learning on those instead. 14
- Who did the old MacBooks belong to? 15 Q.
- One of them was mine and one of them was my sister's. 16 Α.
- What's your sister's name? 17 Q.
- Alia Shafi. 18 Α.
- Was she at home during this particular time period? 19 Q.
- She was not at home at that specific time. 20 A.
- I'm sorry. When I say "that time period," I'm talking 21 Q.
- 22 about early summer, late June, early July, when you were giving
- 23 instruction to Mr. Shafi and his brother, Ramsey.
- She was living at home, yeah, during that time. 24
- 25 yeah.

- 1 Q. Did both of these old Macs work?
- 2 A. No. One of them did not work. The other one did. The
- 3 one that did not work was mine.
- 4 Q. When you said it did not work, can you describe to the
- 5 | jury what you mean?
- 6 A. It just wouldn't turn on. We plugged it in. The computer
- 7 | hadn't been booted up in awhile. When we tried to turn it on,
- 8 | it just wouldn't turn on.
- 9 Q. Did you attempt to boot up Alia's Mac? Your sister's?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 **Q.** Did that one work?
- 12 **A.** That one would turn on.
- 13 | Q. Were you able to gain access to it when once you got it to
- 14 turn on?
- 15 A. We were not actually able to get into the computer because
- 16 | there was a password that we didn't know.
- 17 \ Q. So could you describe for the jury what steps you took to
- 18 | try and get into Alia's MacBook even though it was password
- 19 protected?
- 20 **A.** Well, first thing we did was to try to google how we can
- 21 get into this MacBook not knowing the password.
- 22 | Q. Sorry to interrupt you. But what kind of search term
- 23 | would you use when you said you googled to try to get into the
- 24 MacBook?
- 25 A. Yeah. Well, so we would say probably how to get into a

NOAH SHAFI - DIRECT /

- 1 MacBook without -- with a -- with a password you don't know.
- 2 Yeah.
- And did any of the Google search returns assist you in 3
- actually successfully gaining access to that MacBook? 4
- 5 A. No.
- Did you at any time following that lesson give Alia's 6
- MacBook to Ramsey and/or Mr. Shafi -- Adam Shafi -- to let them 7
- try to see if they could do it? 8
- Yes, I did. 9 Α.
- And did Mr. Shafi -- Adam Shafi -- and his brother, 10
- Ramsey, return to your house for a further lesson at some 11
- 12 point?
- Yeah, they did. 13 Α.
- Going back to the family relationship, you're familiar 14
- with all the family members from being a relative yourself, 15
- correct? 16
- 17 Α. Yes.
- And you're familiar with your Uncle Sal? Salama? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- The families get together a lot? 20 Q.
- Yes. 21 A.
- 22 Q. And over the course of the relationship between the
- 23 families, were you in a position to get to know Mr. Shafi --
- when I say "Mr. Shafi" I'm referring to Sal -- well? 24
- 25 A. Yes.

- Based on what you know of him, his reputation, he's into 1 Q.
- 2 computers and computer science, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- That's something he wanted his family and children to be 4
- conversant with, as well. 5
- Very much so. 6 Α.
- 7 Based on understanding of Mr. Shafi -- that is, Sal Q.
- Shafi -- would he have supported the purchase of MacBooks for 8
- Adam Shafi and Ramsey Shafi? 9
- Most definitely. 10 Α.
- MR. MATTHEWS: I have no further questions, Your 11
- 12 Honor.
- Give me one second. 13
- THE COURT: All right. 14
- Thank you. 15 MR. MATTHEWS:
- THE COURT: 16 Okay.
- 17 MS. LaPUNZINA: Just one moment, Your Honor.
- 18 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**
- 19 BY MS. LaPUNZINA:
- 20 Sorry. I'm taking a minute to get my Good morning.
- 21 papers together.
- So you're very close with your cousin, Adam Shafi, right? 22
- 23 Yes. A.
- Are you still close with him? 24 Q.
- I go visit him when I get the chance. 25 Yeah. Α.

- 1 And after you taught Adam Shafi and his brother, Ramsey, Q.
- 2 how to code or started teaching them how to code, did you stay
- in touch with them after that time frame? Late June, early 3
- July, 2014? 4
- 5 Yes. Α.
- Are you familiar with a trip, the family trip, that they 6
- 7 took to Egypt shortly -- very shortly after they met with you
- probably? Is that right? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- Was it within a matter of a couple weeks? 10
- I'm not 100 percent sure. 11 Α.
- 12 But you're familiar they went to Egypt --Q.
- Yeah. 13 Α.
- -- as a family in July? 14
- Yeah. 15 Α.
- Are you familiar with the fact that Mr. Shafi left his 16
- 17 family and went on a solo trip to Turkey while he was on that
- trip? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Are you aware that he hadn't told his family he was going
- 21 to Istanbul, Turkey, during that trip?
- 22 MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, this is beyond the scope, I
- 23 believe.

THE COURT: Sustained. 24

```
BY MS. LaPUNZINA:
```

- 2 Q. So you explained that you were teaching Adam and Ramsey
- 3 how to code in the summer of 2014, and you let them use two --
- 4 | you and your sister's old Macs?
- 5 **A.** Yes.

- 6 Q. And one of them didn't work, which was yours, but your
- 7 | sister's worked but had some -- they had some trouble accessing
- 8 it. Is that right?
- 9 A. Yes. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you talk to them about buying laptops?
- 11 **A.** Yeah.
- 12 | Q. And are you aware that, in fact, in July -- or, around
- 13 | that time frame they did, in fact, go buy laptops at the Mac
- 14 store?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. So they each had their own at that point?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 MS. LaPUNZINA: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 19 **THE COURT:** Thank you. Any redirect?
- MR. MATTHEWS: He may be excused, Your Honor.
- 21 **THE COURT:** Mr. Shafi, thank you.
- 22 (Witness excused.)
- 23 | MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, the defense calls Madeline
- 24 Larsen.

MADELINE LARSEN,

- 2 called as a witness for the Defendant, having been duly sworn,
- 3 testified as follows:

1

8

9

- 4 THE CLERK: Be seated. And please state your full
- 5 name and spell it for the court reporter.
- 6 THE WITNESS: My name is Madeline Larsen. It's
- 7 M-A-D-E-L-I-N-E. Larsen, L-A-R-S-E-N.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- 10 Q. Good morning, Ms. Larsen.
- 11 A. Good morning.
- 12 **Q.** By whom are you employed?
- 13 A. I'm employed by the Federal Public Defender Office.
- 14 Q. And how long have you been employed by the Federal Public
- 15 Defender's Office?
- 16 **A.** 21 years.
- 17 **Q.** What is your job position?
- 18 A. I'm an investigator in the office.
- 19 Q. Could you, before you get into that, could you give us a
- 20 | little bit about your educational background?
- 21 **A.** I have a bachelor's in social anthropology from Stanford.
- 22 **Q.** Do you have any license, investigator credentials?
- 23 | A. I'm a licensed private investigator, and I have been
- 24 licensed since 1996.
- 25 Q. When you say "licensed," you've been licensed -- is that

- 1 by the state of California?
- 2 A. Yes. By the state of California.
- 3 **Q.** Are you the investigator assigned to this case?
- 4 A. Yes, I am.
- 5 Q. Are you the lead investigator, as it were, assigned to
- 6 this case?
- 7 A. Yes, I am.
- 8 Q. All right. So if we were going to do a little role
- 9 reversal here, you'd be like the defense version of Special
- 10 Agent Reinke who is the case agent for the government?
- 11 A. One might say that.
- 12 | Q. How long have you been working on this case?
- 13 **A.** About one year.
- 14 Q. And are you familiar with the documents that the
- 15 | government has produced to the defense prior to the inception
- 16 of trial?
- 17 **A.** Yes, I am.
- 18 Q. As far as the trial itself is concerned, you have been
- 19 here throughout the entire course of the trial?
- 20 **A.** Yes, except for a few sessions when I stepped out.
- 21 | Q. And that included the selection of the jury?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 **Q.** The testimony of all the government's witnesses except for
- 24 | the times when you stepped out?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. And the cross-examination of the government's witnesses?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. You listened to all the testimony.
- 4 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. You've had an opportunity, have you, to observe what
- 6 exhibits have been introduced into evidence?
- 7 A. Yes, I have.
- 8 Q. And some of those exhibits included items seized during
- 9 the search of the Shafis' residence in Fremont. Correct?
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 11 | Q. So you listened to the testimony regarding that search. I
- 12 | believe there were a number of agents that participated. But
- 13 were you here for the testimony of the agents that conducted
- 14 | the search of the Shafis' residence?
- 15 A. Yes, I was.
- 16 | Q. Did you in particular hear the testimony of Special
- 17 | Agent -- I believe it's Mansuy is how you pronounce it?
- 18 **A.** I did.
- 19 Q. Do you recall that he introduced into evidence photographs
- 20 of the evidence that was seized from the Shafis' residence?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 | Q. I believe during the course of their testimony one of the
- 23 agents mentioned that they seized items of investigative
- 24 | interest. Are you familiar with that term?
- 25 **A.** Yes, I am.

- 1 Q. You stated earlier that you reviewed the documents
- produced by the government. Correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. I would like you to open the exhibit binder and
- 5 look for Defense Exhibit 1018.
- 6 **A.** Okay.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you recognize that?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 **Q.** Are you familiar with it?
- 10 **A.** I am.
- 11 Q. And these appear to be some handwritten notes from a note
- 12 | pad seized from the Shafis' residence?
- 13 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Now, this exhibit reflects how many pages?
- 15 **A.** Two.
- 16 Q. Okay. And what are the Bates numbers on those pages?
- 17 **A.** AS-621 and AS-622.
- 18 MR. MATTHEWS: Now, counsel, I'm referring to the
- 19 exhibit that I gave you. I think it's also Government Exhibit
- 20 64-90.
- 21 Q. So the exhibit I gave you reflects two pages from that
- 22 | note pad, correct?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. And these two pages were the same two pages produced by
- 25 | the government to the defense prior to trial?

```
A. Yes.
```

2

5

8

9

- Q. Okay.
- 3 MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, I move this Exhibit 1018
 4 into evidence.
 - THE COURT: Any objection?
- 6 MS. LaPUNZINA: No, Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. It's admitted.
 - (Trial Exhibit 1018 received in evidence)

BY MR. MATTHEWS:

- 10 Q. This AS-621 and -- thank you. So AS-00621, which is
- 11 presently on the screen, is the single page of this note pad
- 12 | that the government introduced in its case in chief. Is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. Would you please now look at the second page, which is
 AS-00622.
- 17 And Ms. Cruz, would you please publish that?
- So this is a page that the government did not enter into
- 19 | evidence during its case in chief, is that correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Would you please read the items listed on this particular
- 22 page?
- 23 **A.** It says: Phone charger, converter (2), Egypt keys, dental
- 24 | floss, Musuliya, water bottle, dates, book of -- and I can't
- 25 pronounce it, it's an Arabic term. And another Arabic term at

1 the bottom.

- 2 Q. If I understand your testimony, the third item listed on
- 3 | this particular exhibit is Egypt keys, correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Speaking of Egypt, did you have an opportunity to travel
- 6 to Egypt during the course of your investigation in this case?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. Where did you go?
- 9 A. I traveled to Cairo and to two small villages outside of
- 10 | Cairo.
- 11 Q. And at the time that you were in Egypt, did you learn or
- 12 | come across information that the Shafis had a residence there?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. Did you have a chance to go to the residence?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. Would you describe the building that the residence is
- 17 | located in and where it is?
- 18 **A.** The building is one of nine in a series of high-rise
- 19 | apartment buildings that are located right near the Ain Shams
- 20 University near the Faculty of Law and the Faculty of Medicine.
- 21 It's in northeastern Cairo.
- 22 **Q.** And where is the Shafis' residence within the building?
- 23 **A.** I believe it's on the 13th floor.
- 24 | Q. While you were in Egypt doing your investigation, did you
- 25 | have the opportunity to meet any members of the Shafi family?

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. Who did you have a chance to meet?
- 3 A. I met Adam Shafi's maternal grandparents. I met his
- 4 uncles Amr, Usama, and Magdy on his mother's side. I met his
- 5 uncle, Abdul, and a cousin, Ashraf Shafi. I met other cousins
- 6 | who lived in the villages, Ahmed al-Saidi, Anwar and their
- 7 | families. I met a couple of other cousins also.
- 8 0. You mentioned Uncle Usama.
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. Did Uncle Usama live in Cairo or elsewhere?
- 11 A. No. Usama al-Henafi lives in Mecca in Saudi Arabia.
- 12 Q. With the exception of the uncle, Usama, did most of the
- 13 | rest of the people you met live in Cairo?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 **Q.** Were they living there in 2015?
- 16 A. Yes, they were.
- 17 Q. Ms. Larsen, would you please open your exhibit binder to
- 18 Defense Exhibit 1012.
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. Tell me if you recognize the four photographs that are
- 21 part of this exhibit.
- 22 **A.** Yes. These are four photographs that I took.
- 23 | Q. Okay. And you took them while you were in Egypt?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And having examined all four of these photographs, are

1 | they representations of the actual photographs you took?

- A. Yes, they are.
- 3 | Q. These are true and correct copies of those?
- 4 A. Yes, they are.
 - MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 1012 into evidence.
 - MS. LaPUNZINA: Objection. Relevance grounds.
 - THE COURT: Overruled. They'll be admitted.
 - (Trial Exhibit 1012 received in evidence)
 - MR. MATTHEWS: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. MATTHEWS:

- Q. Ms. Cruz, would you please publish Bates No. 5491-FPD.
- Okay. Ms. Larsen, take a look at this photograph and
- 14 | would you just briefly describe for the jury who these people
- 15 are?

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 16 A. On the left seated you have Omer Mansoor. He's a maternal
- 17 | uncle of Adam Shafi. Then you have Adam Shafi's grandfather.
- 18 His mother is in the yellow blouse sitting on the arm of the
- 19 chair. His grandmother is seated. And his uncle, Usama, is
- 20 | sitting on the right-hand side of the picture.
- 21 Q. Thank you. Would you please now turn to Exhibit 5492.
- 22 And Ms. Cruz, if you would publish that document.
- 23 Okay. Would you describe for the jury what this picture
- 24 is of?
- 25 A. This is the high-rise apartment building that the Shafis'

1 residence is in.

- 2 Q. And would you now turn to Exhibit 5489 -- excuse me. The
- 3 same exhibit number, but Bate's No. 5489. And do you recognize
- 4 this photograph?
- 5 A. Yes, I do. This is the living room in the Shafi
- 6 apartment.
- 7 | Q. While we're at it, how is the apartment appointed and how
- 8 is it physically laid out?
- 9 **A.** It's a two-story apartment. It has a living room and a
- 10 kitchen, dining room, and another kind of common area on the
- 11 first floor. And on the second floor are a number of bedrooms.
- 12 It's nicely furnished, it's clean.
- 13 **Q.** When you say two-story, is the first story connected to
- 14 | the second story by an interior staircase?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
- 16 **Q.** And the staircase is within the apartment?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Lastly, would you please look at Bates No. 5490.
- 19 And Ms. Cruz, if you would publish that.
- 20 And it's pretty obvious, but would you just state for the
- 21 record what this is?
- 22 **A.** This is the kitchen in the Shafi apartment.
- 23 Q. Please take that down.
- I want to shift gears and come back to the United States
- 25 | for a moment. Did you have occasion to serve a subpoena on the

- 1 | San Mateo County Sheriff's Office?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 **Q.** What was the subpoena for?
- 4 A. It was requiring them to produce a police report reporting
- 5 | the theft of a laptop from Adam Shafi from the Istanbul
- 6 airport.
- 7 | Q. How did you learn there was a police report?
- 8 A. It was actually in the -- there was a reference to it and
- 9 a copy of it in the mailbox files that were provided by Google
- 10 | that we received in discovery.
- 11 Q. Why was a subpoena sent to San Mateo County Sheriff's
- 12 Office?
- 13 **A.** The report was originally filed as an online report
- 14 through the San Francisco Police Department. San Francisco
- 15 | Police Department routed it to the San Francisco Airport
- 16 Division. The San Francisco Airport Division is in San Mateo
- 17 | County, and so the report became the property or the
- 18 responsibility of the San Mateo County Sheriff's Department.
- 19 Q. Did the San Mateo County Sheriff's Department respond to
- 20 the subpoena?
- 21 A. Yes, they did.
- 22 | Q. Would you please turn your binder to the exhibit tab 1016.
- 23 **A.** Okay.
- 24 Q. Take a look at those, and would you tell me whether these
- are the documents that the San Mateo County Sheriff's Office

1 produced in response to the subpoena you served?

- 2 A. Yes, they are.
- 3 Q. On page Bates No. 5494, do you see a declaration from the
- 4 custodian of records?
- 5 **A.** My Bates No. is 5495.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry. What did I say?
- 7 **A.** 5494.
- 8 Q. I'm sorry. 5495. Correct. And is that a certificate of
- 9 authenticity and a declaration by the custodian of records?
- 10 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Does it indicate that the records were kept in the regular
- 12 | course of business by a person with the duty to keep them?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 1016 into
- 15 evidence.
- 16 MS. LaPUNZINA: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay. It's
- 17 | a police report containing information which is hearsay.
- 18 MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, this is being offered to
- 19 prove -- well, number one, it's in response to the subpoena.
- 20 It's also offered to buttress the statement Mr. Shafi made to
- 21 | the agents that have questioned him on a number of occasions
- 22 | that his laptop was, in fact, stolen. And this shows why he
- 23 made that report.
- THE COURT: Yeah. I'll allow it.
- MR. MATTHEWS: Thank you.

1 (Trial Exhibit 1016 received in evidence)

BY MR. MATTHEWS:

- Q. Would you turn to the page which is identified by Bates
- 4 No. 5497.

2

- 5 Ms. Cruz, would you please bring that up?
- 6 This particular page at the top says San Francisco Police
- 7 Department Airport Bureau. Is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And then the first entry under that is Property, correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. All right. Would you please read what appears in the
- 12 description of the property described?
- 13 **A.** It says "laptop."
- 14 Q. And to the right of that is another field that says Serial
- 15 | Number. Would you please read into the record what that serial
- 16 number is?
- 17 **A.** CPWM47D3DTY3.
- 18 Q. Okay. And over to the right of that it says Make and
- 19 | Model. Would you read into the record what that is?
- 20 A. It says Apple/MD101LL/A.
- 21 Q. Below that, over to the left again, is the Owner. Who is
- 22 | described as the owner of this particular laptop?
- 23 A. Adam Shafi.
- 24 Q. And just to move things along, below Mr. Shafi's name, the
- 25 | status of the laptop is given. What does it say?

A. It says "stolen."

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 2 Q. Would you please turn to the document Bates No. 5498.
- 3 Ms. Cruz, if you would please publish that.
 - MS. LaPUNZINA: Your Honor, we object to this page in particular based on hearsay.
 - THE COURT: And ladies and gentlemen -- and I'm looking specifically at the narrative portion. That is not admitted as speaking to the truth of the matter, but it is -- so it's not hearsay.
 - And with respect to that, go ahead.
- 11 MR. MATTHEWS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 12 BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- 13 Q. Ms. Larsen, would you -- just at the top to make sure that
- 14 | we're on the same page here, take a look at the second field
- 15 down where it says Persons Listing?
- 16 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 17 **Q.** Do you see an email address there?
- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19 **Q.** What is the email address?
- 20 A. Reflexatron@gmail.com.
- 21 Q. And then below in the narrative section, would you please
- 22 | read that? At the very bottom?
- 23 **A.** I was resting with the laptop next to me in the
- 24 | international airport in Istanbul, Turkey, while en route to
- 25 | San Francisco. When I woke up, the laptop was gone. When the

- incident was reported to the authorities at the airport, they
 replied that there was nothing they could do. I then had to
 catch my flight.
- 4 MR. MATTHEWS: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 You can take that down, Ms. Cruz.
- Q. Okay. Let's shift gears again. You sent out a number of subpoenas in this case, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. Did one of them go to American Express?
- 10 A. Yes, it did.
- 11 Q. And what did the subpoena seek?
- 12 A. The subpoena required them to produce documents regarding
- 13 | a purchase protection claim that Adam Shafi filed regarding the
- 14 loss of the laptop because it was still within the time frame
- 15 | that allowed him to recover the purchase price of that laptop.
- 16 Q. How did you learn about the fact that Mr. Shafi had a
- 17 | purchase protection plan under American Express?
- 18 A. Again, there were documents and emails, communications,
- 19 between Mr. Shafi and American Express; and also between
- 20 Mr. Shafi and his father, Sal Shafi, that were in the emails
- 21 | provided by Google in response to the government's search
- 22 | warrant.
- 23 Q. Did American Express respond to the subpoena?
- 24 A. Yes, they did.
- 25 Q. Would you please open your binder to the tab under 1019.

A. Okay.

- 2 Q. And just take a moment to take a look at that.
- 3 **A.** Okay.
- 4 Q. Okay. Would you turn to the document Bates No. 5535.
- 5 A. My Bates numbers start at 5456.
- 6 **Q.** Do you have a 5535?
- 7 A. I do not. Let me see. Oh, I do. I take it back. It's
- 8 | very last page. It was out of order. I apologize.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that page says Certificate of Authenticity of
- 10 Business Records?
- 11 A. Yes, it does.
- 12 **Q.** Is it signed?
- 13 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 14 **Q.** Is it notarized?
- 15 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. And does it state -- or, aver that the records were made
- 17 | at or near the time of the occurrence of the matters contained
- 18 | in the statements by a person with knowledge of those matters?
- 19 A. Yes, it does.
- 20 Q. Does the certificate of authenticity also state that the
- 21 records were kept in the course of regularly conducted business
- 22 activities?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 1019 into
- 25 evidence.

MS. LaPUNZINA: The government objects to certain pages within those records which contain hearsay. While the record may have been kept in the ordinary course of business by American Express, the document itself was not made by American Express and does not reflect an activity of American Express.

So in particular, the government objects to pages numbered FPD-005468, 5469, as well as pages 5484 and 5485 and 5486.

MR. MATTHEWS: Brief response, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Let me look at it first, please.

THE WITNESS: I wonder if I could ask for a glass of water.

THE COURT: You may.

Mr. Matthews, your response.

MR. MATTHEWS: Yes, Your Honor. Number one, if the Court looks closely at Exhibit 5468 -- let's start there -- I believe it says American Express in the upper left-hand corner as well as the upper right-hand corner. All we're trying to establish here is that a claim was filed. It's not being offered to prove the truth of what's stated within the claim form itself. But the relevance that the claim was filed for reimbursement, that's a matter that's been testified to already, I believe, in the government's case and is inexorably connected to the police report that was filed as a necessary predicate to file a claim. Police report's already in evidence.

THE COURT: Ms. LaPunzina.

MS. LaPUNZINA: We have the same objection, Your Honor. I don't recall any evidence in the government's case with respect to this claim at all. The government stands by the fact that it contains hearsay. If the defense point is that he filed a claim for a stolen laptop, that point's been made.

THE COURT: And that's the point that I was waiting for. So I do think that the point's been made. These pages are hearsay, I think. And the fact that he filed -- he made a claim with American Express has been established.

BY MR. MATTHEWS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 13 Q. Let me ask it this way. Ms. Madeline -- excuse me --
- 14 Ms. Larsen, did you learn from any other source about the
- 15 | filing of this particular claim?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 **0.** What source was that?
- 18 A. That was from the emails that were contained in the
- 19 response to the search warrant. I think it was Government
- 20 Exhibit 36, I think.
- 21 MR. MATTHEWS: Okay. Your Honor, given that, I think
- 22 | she's allowed to testify based on her recollection of what was
- 23 | in the emails if the government's introduced this.
- 24 | THE COURT: I disagree with respect to specific
- 25 documents that you have in front of me right now.

MR. MATTHEWS: Okay. So just so the record's clear,
we're accepting that it's been established that Mr. Shafi did
in fact file a claim irrespective of what's contained in here.

THE COURT: That's been the evidence.

BY MR. MATTHEWS:

4

- 6 Q. All right. Well, let's turn for a moment to computers.
- 7 You heard the testimony of Mr. Noah Shafi about him instructing
- 8 | Ismail and -- excuse me -- Ramsey and Adam Shafi regarding
- 9 coding. Were you here for that testimony?
- 10 A. Yes, I was.
- 11 Q. So there's been some testimony about the purchase of Apple
- 12 | MacBook laptops both I believe in the government's case and in
- 13 the defense case so far. Correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Did you during the course of your investigation serve a
- 16 subpoena on Apple?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 **Q.** And what did that subpoena seek?
- 19 **A.** That subpoena sought information regarding two Apple
- 20 MacBooks; the one that was purchased July 3, 2014, and that was
- 21 | stolen from Mr. Shafi in the Istanbul airport, and then a
- 22 | laptop that was purchased on October 23, 2014, which is the
- 23 | laptop which the government seized on July 3, 2015, and which
- 24 remains in -- I quess information that has been talked about
- 25 here.

- 1 Q. Did Apple respond to that subpoena?
- 2 A. Yes, they did.
- 3 Q. What did they respond with?
- 4 A. They provided a certificate of authenticity and a
- 5 spreadsheet with five tabs of information regarding those two
- 6 laptops.
- 7 | Q. When you say "spreadsheet," would that be what's commonly
- 8 referred to as an Excel spreadsheet?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. Excel being the Microsoft software for spreadsheets.
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. Please open your binder to exhibit tab 1017. I believe
- 13 government's got a copy of this as well. Take your time. Take
- 14 | a look at that and let me know whether this is the spreadsheet
- 15 Apple produced in response to the subpoena.
- 16 A. Yes. This looks like all of it.
- 17 Q. Okay. And would you take a look at the document which has
- 18 been Bate stamped 5515.
- 19 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 20 Q. Is that a business record certification of the Apple,
- 21 Incorporated, custodian of records?
- 22 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 23 **Q.** Is it signed?
- 24 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 25 Q. And does the certification aver that the records produced

are the original, or duplicate of the original, records in the custody of Apple?

- A. Yes, it does.
- 4 Q. And these records were made at or near the time of the
- 5 occurrence of the matters set forth in the records?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.
- 7 Q. And the records were kept in the course of regularly 8 conducted activity?
- 9 **A.** Yes.

- 10 MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 1017 into evidence.
- 12 MS. LaPUNZINA: No objection.
- 13 **THE COURT:** It's admitted.
- 14 (Trial Exhibit 1017 received in evidence)
- 15 BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- 16 Q. Okay. Ms. Larsen, so during the course of your work did
- 17 | you investigate and learn the terminology used on Apple
- 18 spreadsheets?
- 19 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. Including the abbreviations?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Would you take a look at Bates No. 5516.
- Ms. Cruz, if you would publish that.
- Okay. You see the row that says 8?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. And it says Description?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And immediately beneath that, in row 9, would you read
- 4 | into the record what that says?
- 5 **A.** MacBook Pro, 13-inch, Mid 2012.
- 6 Q. Then over in the next column, Column B, do you see a
- 7 | heading that says Serial Number?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. And would you read that serial number into the record?
- 10 **A.** CPWM47D3DTY3.
- 11 Q. Have you seen that serial number before?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
- 13 **Q.** Where?
- 14 A. It's the serial number in the report filed regarding the
- 15 | theft of the laptop.
- 16 Q. And to the immediate right of serial number, do you see
- 17 | the purchase date?
- 18 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what is the purchase date listed?
- 20 **A.** July 3, 2014.
- 21 Q. Ms. Cruz, would you please take that down and publish
- 22 Bates No. 5519.
- Okay. Ms. Larsen, would you describe what this exhibit is
- 24 | -- or, excuse me -- this particular page of Exhibit -- whatever
- 25 exhibit it is. 1017.

- 1 A. This tab on the spreadsheet is what's called the device
- 2 registration tab, and it contains information that's captured
- 3 by Apple when a new computer or device is connected to an
- 4 | iCloud or an Apple ID.
- 5 **Q.** When you say iCloud, what are you referring to?
- 6 A. Apple's iCloud system. So, for instance, if you have a
- 7 | machine and you log into your Apple account, your Apple ID
- 8 | account, it registers the number of devices that you're using.
- 9 For instance, if you've tried to share your data with a lot of
- 10 your friends, you reach a limit that says you have five devices
- 11 | connected to this Apple ID, you're not allowed to have more
- 12 connected. Apple keeps track of that information.
- 13 **Q.** So look on row 15 of this particular page.
- 14 **A.** Okay.
- 15 **Q.** And what does that indicate is the serial number?
- 16 A. That's the same serial number as the laptop that was
- 17 | stolen in the Istanbul airport.
- 18 Q. What's in column D?
- 19 **A.** Column D is the date the registration was received. And
- 20 | it's in -- for row 15 it is August 28, 2017.
- 21 Q. And what is -- if you would turn to page 5520.
- 22 And Ms. Cruz, would you swap that out for 5519 for 5520?
- 23 Explain what this is.
- 24 **A.** The spreadsheet captures the information when -- this tab
- of the spreadsheet captures the information when the device is

```
registered. So on the page we just looked at that has the
serial number, the date the device was registered; on this page
we have the information about the individual who registered the
```

So we have, again, the description of the device in the Column F. The part number, which is the model of the laptop. We have the user ID which is the unique ID that Apple assigns to an individual link to an iCloud account. It's a numerical value. Then you have the name H. Burak Berkiten and an email address, and the name prefix, which is Bay.

- 11 Q. And then if you look over in Column R where it says mail address, what do you see?
- 13 A. I see it says -- I can't pronounce it. It says Erzene Mah
 14 NO9 Izmir.
- Q. You familiar with any place in the United States called Erzene Mah NO9?
- 17 **A.** No, I'm not.

device.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 18 Q. And again, this registration to iCloud took place in 2017,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And that would have been after Mr. Shafi returned to the United States from Istanbul in 2014.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Very well.
- 25 MR. MATTHEWS: Thank you, Your Honor. I have no

1 further questions.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 <u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>

- 4 BY MS. LaPUNZINA:
- 5 Q. Good morning, Ms. Larsen.
- 6 A. Good morning.
- 7 | Q. I don't have these electronically, but if we could still
- 8 stick with the Apple records, please, as you have before you.
- 9 **A.** Okay.
- 10 Q. So Exhibit 1017. Defense Exhibit 1017.
- 11 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. You were just walking us through some of these records,
- 13 and I've been trying to figure these out as well. It's a
- 14 little difficult to see. You have multiple pages that belong
- 15 to the same exhibit before you, is that right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. So Mr. Matthews had you focus on the first page.
- 18 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 19 **Q.** Let me try to --
- 20 Ma'am clerk, if I could use the Elmo, please.
- 21 So line 9 is the laptop that was reported stolen, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. So I just referred to as CPW. Just so we can remember
- 24 | which one we're talking about.
- 25 A. That's fine.

```
1 Q. And the product description is -- I don't know what all
```

- 2 | these things mean, frankly, but it's 2X2 gigabyte. Is that a
- 3 | fair way to -- they can be distinguished different ways. One's
- 4 2.5 2X2 gigabyte. The other one is 2.6 gigahertz, 8 gigabyte?
- 5 **A.** Yes. They are different machines on the different lines.
- 6 Q. Right. So it's interesting if you track these through all
- 7 | the different pages. So we're, basically, following the
- 8 description of CPW, the laptop that's reported stolen.
- 9 So page 5519 that you were just looking at with
- 10 Mr. Matthews, this refers to lines 15 and 16 are the exact same
- 11 laptop.
- 12 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 13 **Q.** And this relates to being registered two different dates
- 14 in 2017.
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 16 Q. This is information on the same laptop.
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And if I follow, page 5520, we're still referring to that
- 19 same one laptop that was reported stolen. So it's actually --
- 20 | the last name Berkiten, last name Pektas. You don't actually
- 21 know who these people are. Right?
- 22 | A. No. There are two entries for the same laptop. It was
- 23 registered on two different occasions, and Apple captures each
- 24 date a device is connected. So it was registered first in
- 25 August of 2017 by H. Berkiten, and then in October 2017 by

1 Volkan Pektas. Both locations in Turkey.

- 2 Q. So you were just asked to talk about the first line, but
- 3 | these two lines, in fact, relate to the exact same laptop.
- 4 A. Oh, yes, they do.
- 5 Q. So if you could please look -- it's hard to follow these
- 6 pages. But if you look at pages 5524 all the way through 5530.
- 7 | So in particular, if you focus on 5530 we have that CPW laptop
- 8 again. That's on the top. The other laptop, which is the one
- 9 that was seized from Mr. Shafi's residence, is the one that's
- 10 listed below. That's the CO2 serial number?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 \ Q. Now, if you go to Column W, you see that associated with
- 13 | that first one the CPW indicates the institution name is Home
- 14 School. The second line, the one that was recovered from
- 15 Mr. Shafi, says San Francisco State University.
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. Both say Customer First Name, Adam, correct?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, if you go to the next page, 5531, it now shows you
- 20 | Customer Last Name, Shafi. Customer Email.
- 21 So this top computer that we've been talking about as
- 22 | having been reported stolen was actually registered -- the
- 23 | Customer Email was Ramsey Shafi.
- 24 **A.** Yes. That's what's reported here. The person ID,
- 25 | however, to the right of that column, is Adam's person ID.

- 1 Q. So it's clear that Adam Shafi bought these, right?
- 2 A. That's my understanding.
- 3 Q. And one of them was used by him and one of them was linked
- 4 to his brother, Ramsey Shafi's, email address according to the
- 5 records that you have before you.
- 6 A. Yes. It's my understanding that when there's an order by
- 7 | multiple --
- 8 Q. That's all I asked you. Thank you.
- 9 MR. MATTHEWS: She should be able to explain her
- 10 answer.
- 11 THE COURT: You can ask on redirect. She answered the
- 12 question.
- 13 BY MS. LaPUNZINA:
- 14 Q. You've testified regarding the San Mateo police report and
- 15 | the AMEX records which clearly reflect that there was a claim
- 16 | made for a stolen laptop. Now, the police report that you have
- 17 before you --
- 18 **A.** Could you remind me of the exhibit number?
- 19 **Q.** Yes. It's 1016.
- 20 So this police report was filed with -- the page numbered
- 21 | 5496 is the San Francisco Police Department Airport Bureau
- 22 Incident Report, is that right?
- 23 **A.** That's correct.
- 24 Q. And this indicates that the theft of the stolen laptop was
- 25 | reported on October 8, 2014. Is that right?

- A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And the AMEX records that you were referring to
- 3 | previously, which were Exhibits -- Exhibit 1019 --
- 4 **A.** Uh-huh.

- 5 Q. -- those -- you're familiar with those records, right?
- 6 **A.** I am.
- 7 Q. And they indicate that --
- 8 MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, you've already ruled this 9 is hearsay.
- 10 **THE COURT:** I have.
- 11 MS. LaPUNZINA: I'm not asking a question about the
- 12 statement.
- 13 **THE COURT:** I'm waiting for the question.
- MR. MATTHEWS: Very well.
- 15 BY MS. LaPUNZINA:
- 16 Q. These records reflect that a claim was made of American
- 17 | Express a bit earlier in time. I think in September of 2014.
- 18 Is that right?
- 19 A. Actually, the claim was originally filed online August 30,
- 20 2014.
- 21 Q. With American Express?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 **Q.** What page is that, please?
- 24 A. I'm not sure if that's reflected in this document, but
- 25 | that was reflected in the emails. Adam Shafi filed it and

- 1 received it and email response back giving him the claim
- 2 number. And that's the same claim number reflected here.
- 3 Q. The same claim number for an American Express claim?
- 4 A. For the purchase protection claim. So if you turn to --
- 5 let's see. Where's the -- claim number appears on page Bate's
- 6 No. 5463, and it says Claim No. 720523. That claim was
- 7 initiated on August 30.
- 8 Q. And you're basing your statement that that claim was made
- 9 on August 30 based on what?
- 10 **A.** Based on the emails that were contained in the emails
- 11 produced by Google pursuant to the government's search warrant.
- 12 Q. So Mr. Shafi reported the theft of this laptop to American
- 13 | Express in late August, and then pursued it with American
- 14 Express in writing, and then filed police reports in October of
- 15 2014.
- 16 **A.** There was some back and forth in the email about whether
- 17 or not -- about the documentation he needed to provide. And he
- 18 | needed to file a police report. So he eventually filed the one
- 19 online with the San Francisco Police Department, I think on
- 20 October 7. I think that's the date.
- 21 Q. So you're familiar with the fact that Mr. Shafi reports
- 22 | that he tried filing a claim as soon as he was able to with the
- 23 | authorities, but that he was told nothing could be done about
- 24 it? Is that right?
- 25 **A.** No. He filed a claim on August 30, 2014, and then there

1 | was some period of time before the claim was granted.

- Q. So he never told Officer Mon at the airport, when he was interviewed on his return from Egypt with his family, that his laptop had been stolen?
 - A. I'm not familiar with what happened at the airport.
 - MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, beyond the scope of cross-examination.
- 8 THE COURT: I'm not so sure that we are. Overruled.
 - BY MS. LaPUNZINA:

5

6

7

- 10 Q. I'm sorry what was your answer? You're not --
- 11 A. I've reviewed Officer Mon's report and I was present for

 12 his testimony, but I don't know the full scope of everything he
- 13 said to Officer Mon at the airport.
- 14 Q. It's clear in the testimony that you heard and the reports
- 15 | that you've read that Mr. Shafi, just based on that
- 16 information, that Mr. Shafi never told him that his laptop had
- 17 been stolen. He made mention about his cell phone being lost
- 18 or stolen, but not his laptop, is that right?
- 19 **A.** He mentioned that -- I believe Officer Mon -- I'm
- 20 | wondering if I could refresh my recollection by looking at
- 21 Officer Mon's report so that I don't misstate what's in the
- 22 report.
- MS. LaPUNZINA: One moment.
- 24 May I approach?
- 25 **THE COURT:** You may.

698

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

Okay. Could you ask the question again?

BY MS. LaPUNZINA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- Q. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not Mr. Shafi told Officer Mon at the airport on August 20, 2014, that his laptop --
- MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this line of questioning. She seems to be asking Ms. Larsen to assess the credibility of Officer Mon. I don't think that's proper.

THE COURT: What's your response to that?

- MS. LaPUNZINA: The defense has put on a fair amount of evidence that the laptop was stolen and evidence relating to claims and police reports associated with it. And I'm trying to elicit whether or not he, in fact, made a claim at the first opportunity that he encountered law enforcement which is when he returned to the United States, instead of waiting a period of time before filing his claims.
- MR. MATTHEWS: Well, Your Honor, if the government wants to establish that, they can re-call Officer Mon.
 - THE COURT: I agree with you. Sustained.
 - **MS. LaPUNZINA:** May I approach?
- THE COURT: You may.
- 24 BY MS. LaPUNZINA:
 - Q. So directing your attention now to Defense Exhibit 1018

```
LARSEN - REDIRECT / MATTHEWS
```

1 which were the notes. The hand -- the picture of handwritten 2 notes that were taken during the search of Mr. Shafi's residence. 3 4 Yes. Α. Do you know when these notes were made? 5 Q. I do not. 6 Α. 7 MS. LaPUNZINA: One moment. (Pause.) 8 MS. LaPUNZINA: No further questions, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Matthews? 10 MR. MATTHEWS: One follow-up. 11 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MATTHEWS: 13 Ms. Larsen, would you please turn to Bate's No. 5477. 14 15 That's part of the American Express group of documents. 16 THE COURT: Exhibit 1019. THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 18 Ms. LaPunzina just asked you a couple of questions about 19 20 the date that Mr. Shafi filed the claim. 21 Correct. Α. 22 Is that date apparent on this document? 23 Yes. Α. In the first line? 24 Q.

25

Α.

Yes, it is.

```
What's the date?
 1
     Q.
 2
         August 30, 2014.
     Α.
 3
              MR. MATTHEWS: One moment, Your Honor.
 4
          (Pause.)
              MR. MATTHEWS: That's it, Your Honor. Thank you.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Anything further?
 6
              MS. LaPUNZINA: Nothing further. Thanks.
 7
              THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Larsen.
 8
                         (Witness excused.)
 9
              MR. FAKHOURY: Your Honor, we call Dr. Marc Sageman.
10
11
                             MARC SAGEMAN,
12
     called as a witness for the Defendant, having been duly sworn,
     testified as follows:
13
              THE CLERK: Be seated. And if you would please state
14
15
     your full name for the record and spell it for the court
16
     reporter.
17
              THE WITNESS: Sure. My name is Marc S. Sageman. Marc
     is spelled with a C. And Sageman is spelled S-A-G-E-M-A-N.
18
19
              MR. FAKHOURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
    BY MR. FAKHOURY:
22
     Q.
          Just about good afternoon, Dr. Sageman. How are you?
         I'm fine.
23
     Α.
```

What is your occupation?

I'm retired.

24

25

Q.

Α.

- 1 Q. And what field are you retired from?
- 2 A. Where do you want to start?
- 3 Q. Well, why don't we start at the very beginning. Where did
- 4 | you go to college, sir?
- 5 **A.** I went to Harvard.
- 6 **Q.** And when did you graduate?
- 7 **A.** 1973.
- 8 Q. Did you do anything to further your education after that?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 **Q.** And what was that?
- 11 **A.** I did an M.D. and Ph.D. at the same time as an M.D./Ph.D.
- 12 | fellow at New York University.
- 13 | Q. And when did you graduate from New York University?
- 14 A. Well, I graduate several times. I got my master's degree
- 15 | in political sociology in 1977. I got my M.D., my medical
- 16 degree, my medical doctorate, in 1979. And I got my Ph.D. in
- 17 | political sociology in February of 1982.
- 18 Q. And after you graduated where did you go next?
- 19 **A.** I first did residency in pathology, and then two years
- 20 | later I joined the U.S. Navy as a flight surgeon.
- 21 Q. How long were you in the Navy for?
- 22 | A. I was there for -- on active duty I was there for three
- 23 years.
- 24 **Q.** And after the Navy, where did you go to work?
- 25 **A.** I joined the Central Intelligence Agency.

- 1 Q. How long did you work for the Central Intelligence Agency?
- 2 A. I was there for seven years.
- 3 Q. What was your role at the CIA?
- 4 **A.** I was a case officer.
- 5 Q. What does that mean?
- 6 A. A case officer is your operative. I've always been a
- 7 | practitioner, whether physician or a case officer. It's really
- 8 the fellow who handles spies, who runs wars. It's stuff on
- 9 James bond, basically.
- 10 Q. Was there a specific area you served when you were in the
- 11 CIA?
- 12 **A.** Yes. I was Near East and South Asia specialist. That was
- 13 | the division. They assign you a division. So I was Middle
- 14 East and South Asia.
- 15 And while doing that, I was assigned to the Afghan task
- 16 | force where I initiated a lot of unilateral program with
- 17 | mujahideen fighting the Soviets at the time in Afghanistan.
- 18 Then I was sent to Islamabad to run the program I had started
- 19 in Washington D.C. And so I was on the Afghan issue for about
- 20 | three years from 1986 to 1989.
- 21 Q. When did you leave work for the -- when did you leave the
- 22 CIA?
- 23 A. I left two years later in 1991.
- 24 **Q.** And where did you go after that?
- 25 A. I went back to civilian life. I went back to medicine.

- 1 | So I did one year of internship in medicine, in internal
- 2 | medicine, at the University of Pennsylvania. And then did a
- 3 three-year residency in psychiatry.
- 4 Q. Were you ever qualified as an expert witness on forensic
- 5 | psychiatry?
- 6 A. Yes. I became a forensic psychiatrist -- I think my
- 7 | senior year of residency a friend of mine called me and asked
- 8 | me if I could testify in court on one of the post office mass
- 9 | murderer -- you know, going postal -- in New Jersey. I did
- 10 | that, and from then I became a forensic psychiatrist and I
- 11 testified maybe a dozen, 20 times.
- 12 | Q. Okay. Now in the mid 1990s, did you become a member of a
- 13 | study group at the University of Pennsylvania?
- 14 A. Yes. I joined what was called the Solomon Asch institute
- 15 | -- the Solomon Asch Center for the Study of Ethno-Political
- 16 | Conflict. That just meant that we were studying political
- 17 | violence throughout the world.
- 18 Q. Now you've used the word "political violence." Are there
- 19 other terms for that concept, that are commonly used or
- 20 commonly heard?
- 21 A. Civil war, war, insurgency, terrorism, political violence.
- 22 | Q. And how long did you stay at the University of
- 23 Pennsylvania at the Solomon Asch Center?
- 24 | A. Until 2005, until I moved to Washington D.C.
- 25 **Q.** Okay. And when you moved to Washington D.C. in 2005, what

did you start to do then?

Q.

- 2 A. I became a consultant for the government for various government agency.
- 4 Q. Can you tell us a little bit about which government agencies you consulted for?
- A. Well, it would be hard to understand unless you asked me
 what I did before.
 - Q. Sure. Well, what did you do before?
 - A. 9/11 happened. And people talked about al-Qaeda, people talked about Afghanistan. So I wondered whether I had trained people who did 9/11. And it turned out there were no Afghans in al-Qaeda at the time so they weren't my guys. And if they were not my guys, who were they? So I started studying al-Qaeda at that point and wrote a book Understanding Terror Network. I first testified at the 9/11 Commission in 2003, and turned that testimony into a book that's probably one of the most cited book in the field at this point.

And so because of that, just about every intelligence agency, law enforcement, started calling me and asking me to come and be patriotic and consult with them. And since I was spending just about all my time in Washington D.C., I decided I was -- to move my family to be with me. Because I was spending about three or four days a week at Washington, D.C. My family was in Philadelphia. So I moved to Washington, D.C., in 2005.

So your government consulting work was around essentially

1 some of the themes and topics you discussed in your book 2 Understanding Terror Networks, is that right?

That's right. Α.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Why don't we start by -- can you give us a very brief kind 4 of general synopsis of what Understanding Terror Networks is 5 about?
 - Understanding Terror Networks, the first two chapters is really the background, the context, in which 9/11 happened. You know, what gave rise to al-Oaeda in the Middle East. third chapter was very new. I used a methodology of epidemiology in medicine to kind of look at terrorists, and so

12 I started bringing empirical evidence, drawing empirical evidence, through percentage, graphs, and so on, showing that 13 terrorists at that time were fairly well educated, mostly 14 married, and so on. So most of your conception of a terrorist 15

The fourth chapter was how people join a terrorist organization, what later became known as radicalization. the fifth chapter was a social network analysis of the various people arrested in Europe and the United States and showing that there were three or four clusters of terrorists.

- Q. So as a result of this book, which specific government agencies did you end up consulting for?
- Just about every one of them. Α.
- Did you do any work for the Secret Service? 25 Q.

was really refuted by the empirical evidence.

A. Yes. Secret Service specifically asked me to come on board for at least a year to protect the President against terrorist attacks. And I did that from 2006 to 2007.

And by that time, was given back my security clearances which I had at the agency. So I had a security clearance which allowed me to look at all secret documents on terrorism.

Because in a sense, protecting the President of the United

States required me to be able to access anything I wanted to.

- Q. Did you also do any work for the New York City Police Department?
- A. Yes. When I was consulting with the government of Singapore, David Cohen, who's a deputy commissioner of the police department, called me up and said, Marc, can you help us out and defend the city? We've created a position for you called the scholar in residence. And so, you know, this is New York; it's very hard to pass up. So, of course, I accepted and I was there for a year.
- Q. How about, did you do any work for the United States Army?
- A. Yes. So after the Fort Hood massacre -- I think it was

 November 5, 2009 -- the Deputy Chief of Staff of the Army,

 General Zanher, and that's a very difficult name to spell, it's

 Z-A-N-H-E-R, Richard Zanher, called me up in Singapore and

said, Marc, we really need you here.

And so I came back. I met with him. They asked me to come on board to be the special adviser to the Chief of Staff

- of the Army on the insider threat, which is what they called
- 2 either traitors or terrorism from within the Army. Wondering
- 3 | whether they had more terrorists or traitors like Major Hasan.
- 4 If you recall, Major Hasan killed 13 people in 2009.
- 5 And so I was there for -- until April of 2013. So for
- 6 almost three and-a-half years.
- 7 Q. Okay. You've already mentioned your book, *Understanding*
- 8 | Terror Networks. Have you written any other books?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 **Q.** How many?
- 11 **A.** Well, the fourth sitting there at the prosecution table.
- 12 | That the fellow can show, right? A fifth book is coming out in
- 13 about two months on the London bombings. And another
- 14 | two-volume books on what's happening in France, probably at the
- 15 end of next year.
- 16 Q. Are your books peer reviewed?
- 17 **A.** Yes, they were, from the University of Pennsylvania. So
- 18 | they have to go through a peer-review process with one or two
- 19 referees saying, Yes, it conforms to social science, to tenets
- 20 of social science.
- 21 Q. As a result of your 40-plus years of experience, do you
- 22 | have specialized knowledge about terrorism and political
- 23 | violence?
- 24 A. Well, it's just about all that I do now.
- 25 Q. And you've already mentioned that you testified in front

- 1 of the 9/11 Commission. Have you been -- have you testified as
- 2 | an expert witness in civil or criminal cases surrounding topics
- 3 | surrounding political violence and terrorism?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. How many times?
- 6 A. Testimonies, maybe eight to ten. Writing report -- a lot
- 7 of those cases are sentencing cases because a defendant pleads
- 8 guilty. And so I've written a report for the rest. And in
- 9 some cases I've been qualified. But since the defendant pled
- 10 guilty, my testimony was not needed. So about 24 cases, 25
- 11 cases, in all.
- 12 Q. Have you ever been hired as an expert witness in a
- 13 | criminal case by the government? To be an expert on behalf of
- 14 | the government in a criminal case?
- 15 **A.** Criminal case connected to terrorism?
- 16 Q. Yes. Correct.
- 17 **A.** By the government, yes. One time. The Boyd case, North
- 18 | Carolina. But he pled quilty so I didn't have to testify.
- 19 MR. FAKHOURY: Your Honor, we would tender Dr. Sageman
- 20 as an expert.
- MR. HASIB: No objection.
- 22 **THE COURT:** You can proceed.
- MR. FAKHOURY: Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. FAKHOURY:
- 25 Q. And there's this humongous binder in front of you. Can

1 you flip to Exhibit 1020?

- 2 A. Sorry. When you get my age you have to switch glasses.
- 3 Now I can't see you, but I can see the exhibit. 10 --
- 4 Q. 1020. It's going to be all the way in the back.
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Is this your CV?
- 7 A. Yes. It's one of my CV's yes. Yes. One of the more
- 8 current ones.
- 9 **Q.** And this has a summary of your qualifications, correct?
- 10 A. Yeah. My background, my professional area, qualification,
- 11 | areas of expertise and so on, yes.
- MR. FAKHOURY: Your Honor, we move Exhibit 1020 into
- 13 evidence.
- MR. HASIB: No objection, Your Honor.
- 15 **THE COURT:** It's admitted.
- 16 (Trial Exhibit 1020 received in evidence)
- 17 BY MR. FAKHOURY:
- 18 Q. Okay. Dr. Sageman, our office has retained you to work on
- 19 Mr. Shafi's case, correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 **Q.** Are you being paid for your work?
- 22 A. I'm paid for my time.
- 23 **Q.** And how much are you paid for your time?
- 24 **A.** \$300 an hour.
- 25 **Q.** What work did you specifically do in this case?

Actually, let me strike that. Let me ask it in a different way.

As part of the work you've done in this case, have you had an opportunity to review the discovery provided by the government in this case?

6 **A.** Yes.

3

4

- 7 Q. Okay. Have you reviewed all of the discovery provided by the government in this case?
- 9 A. Well, I thought I did, but then I just heard the testimony
 10 of the person in your office, and I wasn't aware of that part
 11 of the discovery. So I didn't review that part, but just about
 12 everything else, yes.
- Q. Okay. There were some -- you may have heard some
 reference to 15 phone calls admitted into evidence. Did you
 review those phone calls?
- 16 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. Did you review other phone calls made by Mr. Shafi?
- 18 A. Yeah, hundreds of them.
- 19 Q. Now, you were in court when Dr. Vidino testified, right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. And you obviously heard his testimony, correct?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. I want to start by asking you about something that
- 24 Dr. Vidino testified about. The concept that he called
- 25 radicalization.

A. Yes.

- Q. First question to you is, do you agree with Dr. Vidino's definition of radicalization?
- A. Well, as Lorenzo -- Dr. Vidino -- testified, there are many definition. There's no consensus on a definition of radicalization. And the definition that he used is not one that I would completely agree with.
 - Q. What would you disagree with?
 - A. I would -- to me, radicalization -- I go back to 1960s.

 So radicalization is a process of acquiring ideas which run

 counter to the mainstream ideas of your society. Your country.

So, for instance, if you are religious, like *salafi* Muslim in London, you would be considered a radical because those are not the mainstream ideas of even Muslims in London. But in Saudi Arabia, you'd be considered mainstream, you would not be considered radical. So it depends very much on the context that you are talking about.

So to me, radicalization is just the acquisition of those ideas that run counter to mainstream ideas, societal ideas, at the time.

Where I differ from Dr. Vidino is he thinks that radicalization includes legitimation of violence. No. There is no indication in what people call radicalization, radical ideas, about legitimation of violence. It's a different process.

A. Well, about ten years ago in a sense I worked with the FBI in both their behavioral unit where they come up with this two-step process, radicalization and mobilization. Although I did not call it that. There was, one, the acquisition of ideas, and the second was a turning to political violence. Which is my terminology. Hence the title of my big book.

And it was very clear that people who had radical ideas, meaning ideas that run counter to society, their general society, most of them do not turn violent. Many of them still reject violence. And so it's -- the turn to violence is a secondary process.

And then as I learned more, as I left government service in 2013, I had about five years to kind of think about what I had learned. And, you know, remember I had access to just about everything the government had. So I interviewed a lot of terrorists myself. I've interviewed about 50 terrorists -- mostly in prison in Guantanamo, in Afghanistan, Saudi Arabia, in France, England -- and tried to make sense about what I learned. And I realized that even this whole concept of two steps really was not what I was learning from the empirical evidence.

And so the process that I describe in my last two books that had been published -- namely, Misunderstanding Terrorism

and Turning to Political Violence, delineates this process of turning to violence.

And you can have radical ideas and be violent. You can have radical ideas and not be violent. And you can be violent without radical ideas. The two are not the same.

But the process is actually very similar in not just

Muslim terrorist, but I went back in that large book and went
back to the French Revolution. Looked at terrorists throughout
the last 240 years. I looked at 34 campaigns of political
violence. Each campaign has hundreds of incident. And some
incidents included sometimes ten terrorists. So I've looked at
several thousand people to really look at that. And the
process that I describe in my book is, basically, supported
about 90 percent of the cases.

- Q. Let me ask you another question. You mentioned that under your concept of radicalization, it sort of depends on the society you're in at that given moment. Are there, in your opinion, are there ideas that westerners would consider radical that would be otherwise considered mainstream in the Middle East or North Africa?
- A. Yes. As I just mentioned, the example of a salafi Muslim; a salafi Muslim is a very literalist Muslim who believes everything in the Quran. It's one of -- it's a subset of the four schools of jurisprudence in Islam. The salafi in London would be considered radical. But if he goes to Saudi Arabia

- 1 where the whole society is salafi, it would be considered
- 2 mainstream. Or even to just about any of the gulf states,
- whether it's Kuwait, Oman, Qatar. 3
- Based on your review of the evidence in this case, do you 4
- have an opinion about whether Mr. Shafi had radical ideas, 5
- under your framework? 6
- Yes. 7 Α.
- And what is that opinion? 8
- I think he had radical ideas. What he was thinking was 9 Α.
- very much different from what regular American citizens were 10
- thinking about the Middle East. 11
- 12 Let's shift gears a little bit now to talk about something
- else Dr. Vidino testified about, which is mobilization. Do you 13
- agree with his definition of mobilization? 14
- No, I don't. 15 Α.
- Okay. Why is that? 16 Q.
- Mobilization is a term from sociology. It comes from --17 Α.
- it's called something -- a discipline within sociology called 18
- 19 social movement theory. And mobilization in social movement
- theory is just joining a movement. It's clear that in my 20
- 21 definition, or in most government definition, this is
- 22 radicalization. So in a sense, what the government says and
- 23 what academia says is really at odds with each other. So that
- creates a lot of misunderstanding and friction. 24
- But I also found out that this is not how people become 25

1 violent. And so this whole notion of mobilization is really

- 2 | the government's conception of how people become violent. But
- 3 I did not find that to be true empirically.
- 4 Q. Do you have a different phrase or terminology you would
- 5 use to describe the process by which somebody becomes violent?
- 6 A. Yes. It's title of my book. Turning to Political
- 7 | Violence.
- 8 Q. Based on your review of the evidence in this case, do you
- 9 believe that Mr. Shafi was on this pathway to political
- 10 | violence as laid out in your literature?
- 11 **A.** No.
- 12 **Q.** And why is that?
- 13 A. How I describe people becoming terrorist or violent, they
- 14 really think of themselves as soldiers defending their
- 15 | community that's attacked by either a government or by some
- 16 other community. And it's very clear to me that there's no
- 17 | indicators in the discovery material that Mr. Shafi thought of
- 18 | himself as a soldier. Nowhere does he say, I'm a mujahid,
- 19 which is a *jihadi*. But that's -- the *mujahid* is the singular
- 20 of mujahidin. Nowhere does he say, I'm a soldier of Allah. No
- 21 where does he say -- he doesn't think of himself as a soldier.
- 22 | What he wanted to do is to share the suffering of people he
- 23 | identified with. Namely, the victims in Syria.
- 24 Q. Is that common? Or is that something you see -- let me
- 25 ask it this way: You've testified that you've interviewed

1 | about 50 terrorists under your -- that's your term.

- A. No, no. Terrorists who were convicted terrorists. So not
- 3 | -- legally. And not just here, but in Japan, elsewhere.
- 4 Q. So in your interviews of those individuals, did you see
- 5 the same sort of focus on suffering and dying rather than
- 6 killing?

- 7 **A.** Well, many of them were focused -- this is how they
- 8 | politicize. They acquired this belief by social identity
- 9 because they identified with victims of their own group being
- 10 | victimized abroad, and so they decided that they wanted to do
- 11 something about it. They wanted to defend it. Become soldiers
- 12 | to defend it.
- 13 Those were the guys who decide to become terrorists, to
- 14 | become violent. But many, many people -- it's almost a ratio
- 15 of ten to one or a hundred to one -- identify with the victims
- 16 | because we're all human beings, and very few of them decide to
- 17 | become soldiers, to actually kill other people. Because that's
- 18 what soldiers do.
- 19 Q. I want to talk about some specific items or pieces of
- 20 | evidence that we've heard in this case.
- 21 The first is a June 5 phone call between Mr. Shafi and
- 22 | Saleem Karim. This is a long phone call where they discuss
- 23 | Mohammad al-Joulani. Do you recall that phone call?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 **Q.** And have you reviewed that -- have you reviewed the

transcript of that phone call?

- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What, in your view, is the significance of that phone
- 4 | call?

1

- 5 **A.** Well, he just, I guess, just watched on Al Jazeera the
- 6 interview of al-Joulani and was so enthralled with it that even
- 7 at 2:30 or 2:40 a.m. he called his friend who's in
- 8 Pennsylvania, so it's 5:30 over there, and said, This is great.
- 9 This is great. I heard this. It's fantastic.
- I don't know if you heard it, but the voice was rambling,
- 11 | they were half asleep, both of them. And so he was very
- 12 | enthusiastic about Joulani. And then, of course, al-Nusrah
- 13 because Joulani is the emir of al-Nusrah.
- 14 Q. In your review of the evidence in this case, did you see
- 15 | any indication that this feeling of being enamored with Joulani
- 16 and al-Nusrah, is there any discussion in the discovery you
- 17 | reviewed that that continued after June 5, 2015?
- 18 A. No, not really. Remember, Karim was on the plane. So he
- 19 picked up Karim, I quess, later that day or the next day at
- 20 | midnight. A little bit after midnight. And then the big
- 21 | conversation that we have next -- I think there may have been a
- 22 few conversations, it kind of lasted a minute or so. But the
- 23 | big one after that is on June 8 when he doesn't really mention
- 24 Joulani or al-Nusrah in that conversation. He goes on to -- he
- 25 wants to do other things.

But there was some reference in Dr. Vidino's testimony to 1 Q. 2 some statements that Mr. Shafi said about spilling gallons of

- blood. Do you recall that --3
 - Α. Yes.

4

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- -- statement? 5 Q.
- This comes from -- this comes a week later. 6
- Okay. And does that statement have any significance to 7 Q. 8 you?
- As I said, he identified with the victims in Syria. Α. He idealized, in a sense, a victim, because they were victims. They now became pure, in his mind. And he felt very quilty 11 12 that he's here in this country, protected, not suffering, and

all the people in his community are suffering over there.

So he wanted -- he identified with them and he wanted to share in their suffering. That's why he said, you know, I just hope Allah doesn't take my soul until I have at least like a couple of gallon of blood that I've spilled for him. At least something like that. How can I meet Allah when my face has no scars on it? It's very much this wanting to share in the suffering. It's from guilt.

There was another -- and I can't actually recall if Q. Dr. Vidino testified about this. But we've heard evidence in this case about another phone call that Mr. Shafi had with Mr. Karim where Mr. Shafi expressed he was jealous of an associate or somebody who had died in Syria. Did you recall

that conversation?

- 2 A. Yes. It happened two days later. It was a very short
- 3 | conversation. I think that Mr. Karim said he knew somebody who
- 4 | died in Syria. And he said, Oh, I'm so freaking jealous.
- 5 Q. Okay. So is there any significance to that statement in
- 6 your mind?

- 7 **A.** Same thing. I want to share in the suffering because I
- 8 don't think I'm worthy, you know, being here protected, living
- 9 in luxury, while my fellow Muslims are dying in Syria.
- 10 Q. And just so we're clear, in your view sharing in suffering
- 11 | is not equated with killing.
- 12 **A.** That's correct. One is being a soldier. And being a
- 13 | soldier, people say different things. You know, they say, I'm
- 14 | a soldier. I want to go out and kill people. I want to be a
- 15 | glorified hero. That's really what soldiers say.
- 16 Q. Okay. I want to change topics now -- and now this is
- 17 | something Dr. Vidino did testify about -- about a dream that
- 18 Mr. Shafi had where he saw Anwar al-Awlaki. Do you remember
- 19 that testimony from Dr. Vidino as well as the overall -- the
- 20 dream that he was referencing? Do you recall that?
- 21 A. Yes. At first when I read the discovery material I must
- 22 | admit, I must confess that I missed that. But after I
- 23 | interviewed Mr. Shafi five, six times, he --
- MR. HASIB: Objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained.

And let's proceed with a question that then Dr. Sageman can answer, as opposed to laying out something and --MR. FAKHOURY: Sure. BY MR. FAKHOURY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Without getting into anything you learned from your interviews with Mr. Shafi; based on the discovery material, what is the significance to you of Mr. Shafi describing this dream?
- Dr. Vidino was right. I think a lot of religious Muslims Α. put a lot of importance to dreams that they have. They think they're either signs of god or signs of what they should do. Hints. Which is a little bit ironic since I'm a psychiatrist. We used to put a lot of importance on dreams but for very different reason.

But I was surprised that Muslims care a lot about the dreams. And the specific dreams from the discovery material, especially in the conversation on June 30 and July 1, was that he had met -- he had met al-Awlaki in his dream on April 19, I think, was the date. Let me check. This is, by the way, my own outline as opposed to the government's outline. I did that before.

And I think that -- yeah. It was April 19, 2015, that he had texted: I saw Sheikh Anwar in my dreams and he gave me specific orders.

And the orders was Mr. Shafi wanting to leave this

country. He wanted to leave this country because he felt he was treated like an enemy. He wanted to do hijra, which is a natural thing. It's not the jihadi thing to do. Hijra, as Dr. Vidino explained, is to just -- for a lot of Muslims, so difficult to be a Muslim here. A Muslim in a non-Muslim country. That they want to go to a Muslim majority country so they can be comfortable. A little bit like Jerusalem. To go back to Israel.

And in Hebrew it's called (Hebrew). It's called hijra in Arabic. It's the same thing. You want to go back to -- or, you want go to a community that's Muslim.

So he wanted to leave this country. And al-Awlaki told him that he could leave in October 2016. And this was, of course, April 2015. And he was hesitating. So al-Awlaki told him: You could leave in June of this year if you wanted to, but I wouldn't recommend it.

MR. HASIB: I'm going to object again as to the basis for Dr. Sageman's knowledge for this particular testimony of his.

THE COURT: Sustained. Let's just focus on -- you were asking him, I thought, about the importance of dreams and juxtaposing that to what Dr. Vidino had testified to. Let's do that rather than a narrative of that.

MR. FAKHOURY: Sure. Actually, I'm going to shift gear a little bit anyway.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 BY MR. FAKHOURY: In your review of the evidence, did you see any indication 3 that Mr. Shafi had reached out to or made contact with anyone 4 from the al-Nusrah Front or any other terrorist organization? 5 No, he did not. I reviewed all the evidence and I didn't 6 7 find a hint of it. Based on your review of the evidence in this case, do you 8 have an opinion about whether Mr. Shafi took the steps to place 9 himself under the direction and control of the al-Nusrah Front? 10 No, he did not. 11 Α. 12 MR. FAKHOURY: Can I have a minute, Your Honor? 13 THE COURT: You may. (Pause) 14 MR. FAKHOURY: I have no further questions, Your 15 Honor. Thank you. 16 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. THE WITNESS: May I have a glass of water, please? 18 MR. FAKHOURY: Yes. I'll get you one. 19 That we can do. 20 THE COURT: 21 MR. HASIB: Can we see Your Honor for a moment? 22 (The following proceedings were heard at the sidebar:) 23 THE COURT: So how long do you think you'll go? It's going to go past 1:00. I expect to 24 MR. HASIB: be 45 minutes, maybe an hour. No longer than that. 25

```
1
     finishing early tomorrow morning.
 2
          The other thing about continuing today, Dr. Sageman was
     reading from some notes. We don't know what those are.
 3
     want those as Jencks.
 4
              THE COURT: Sure. I don't know whether it's Jencks,
 5
     but you're entitled to look at them.
 6
              MR. FAKHOURY: It's just summaries of the evidence,
 7
     but you can have it. That's not a problem.
 8
              THE COURT: So here's my idea. That we break now and
 9
     have Dr. Sageman testify first thing, then take a break, then
10
     go into closings.
11
12
              MR. FAKHOURY: You mean have him testify tomorrow
13
     morning?
                          Tomorrow morning.
14
              THE COURT:
              MR. FAKHOURY: Sure. Whatever the Court --
15
              THE COURT: As opposed to trying to take a break now
16
     for a little bit and then do the cross-examination if the jury
17
     was able to stay, which I think -- I don't think it's
18
19
     necessary. And -- so that's what I'm thinking.
20
              MR. FAKHOURY: That's fine with me.
21
              THE COURT: All right.
22
             MR. HASIB:
                          Okay.
```

THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen, because of where we are in the testimony of Dr. Sageman, I think we'll break

(The following proceedings were heard in open court:)

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

early today and come back first thing in the morning. expectation is that after Dr. Sageman finishes we may move on to the next phase of the case. We'll see.

But between now and tomorrow -- we're getting close, but we're not done with the evidence. Once the evidence is in, the lawyers are going to give you their perspectives on what you've heard, I'm going to tell you what the law is. And after that you'll be able to start working on the case, talking about it and working through things. And that will be coming soon.

So between now and then, please follow the admonitions that I've given you, have a good afternoon, and we'll see you again tomorrow morning slightly before 8.

(The jurors exiting the courtroom.) (The following proceedings were held in open court, outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Please be seated, everybody.

Then, Dr. Sageman, you've had some notes that you were using to refer as a summary. And if you, when this is done, provide them to the government so they can take a look.

> THE WITNESS: Sure.

THE COURT: And aside from that, shall we talk about the jury instructions now rather than wait until 3? Or do you want to wait until 3 because you need to.

MR. FAKHOURY: The only thing I need is I actually did not bring a copy with me. If I could have five minutes to grab

```
my pleadings and we can talk about it now or we can come back
 1
 2
     at 3.
           Whatever the Court wants.
              THE COURT: Well, what about the government? What do
 3
     you think?
 4
             MS. LaPUNZINA: We have the same request, five minutes
 5
     or 3:00 p.m., please.
 6
              THE COURT: So it seems to me -- I assume Mr. Shafi
 7
     would like to come back -- or, would like to be here during the
 8
     jury instruction conference. And I also think that it would be
 9
     helpful to have the jury -- somebody working on producing the
10
     final instructions once we go through it. So why don't we
11
12
     break now and come back at ten of, if that's enough time.
             MR. FAKHOURY: That's fine.
                                           Thank you, Your Honor.
13
             MR. HASIB: One request, Your Honor. If the defense
14
     team could be instructed that Dr. Sageman is now our witness.
15
     They have no further contact with him at this point.
16
17
             MR. FAKHOURY: That's not a problem.
             MR. MATTHEWS: No problem.
18
              THE COURT: So instructed. All right. See you in ten
19
20
     minutes.
21
              THE WITNESS: Do you want to make copies of it? Five
22
     pages?
            I'll wait for you here.
23
                       (Recess taken at 12:40 p.m.)
                   (Proceedings resumed at 12:50 p.m.)
24
```

23

24

25

1 (The following proceedings were held in open court, continuing 2 outside the presence of the jury:) THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Fakhoury or 3 Mr. Matthews, where would you like to start? 4 MR. FAKHOURY: Your Honor, I think on the jury 5 instruction, I think essentially there's only, I think, two 6 real issues that we've been -- based on your tentative in terms 7 of what you were inclined to do. And so that is with respect 8 to the material support definition and then the First Amendment 9 instruction. So those are essentially the two that we have 10 concerns with. 11 12 I think, obviously, the vast majority of the instructions are not disputed, and we don't have issues with using Ninth 13 Circuit pattern instructions. 14 Of course, these two instructions, the material support 15 and the First Amendment are the two instructions for which 16 there's no Ninth Circuit pattern. We can appreciate there's a 17 little bit of difficulty in terms of crafting an appropriate 18 19 instruction. So with respect to the material support instruction, and 20 21 specifically I'm looking at page -- it's pages -- it's ECF

pages 57 and 58, or pages 52 and 53 on the -- in the bottom right-hand corner. Just so we're all on the same page. You know, obviously we would prefer our proposed instruction. I think our proposed instruction gives a little more specific

guidance to the jury.

You know, I think part of the problem in this case is these terms are very -- they have very specific meanings and they have very -- I guess maybe a way to say it, sort of technical meanings. And I think it's important the jury be given as much guidance and as much instruction as possible in terms of what these instructions mean. I think that's especially important given the Supreme Court's decision in Holder which really lays out how the statute is supposed to be interpreted in order to be consistent with the First Amendment.

So I think our instruction does that clearer than the government's instruction. And you know, we've listed out in the authority section there have been other courts that -- you know, no one's given this specific instruction, but have given bits and pieces of it. And so again, in our view, we think this instruction adequately instructs what Holder requires and provides more guidance to the jury on how to assess these very sort of -- maybe not esoteric, but very technical, precise, terms that have to be interpreted a particular way in order to survive First Amendment scrutiny.

And so our view is that our instruction captures that, and we would ask for our instruction.

If the Court overrules our objection, we do have some minor suggested revisions to the government's instruction. I want to be clear that we're not waiving any objection, but we

PROCEEDINGS

just wanted to flag a couple things that we thought could be done to improve the government's instruction.

So that's our take on the material support instruction. Happy to address the First Amendment or --

THE COURT: Let's stay there for a second.

Mr. Hasib or --

MR. HASIB: Your Honor, Mr. Fakhoury is right. Their proposed instruction has not been used before, and it is sort of a mishmash of a bunch of different things.

We have some issues with the -- the first thing we have an issue with is use of the word "coordination." That is not something that's in Pugh. It's not in Elhuzayel, it's not in Salman. I think we found it in the Mehanna case, but that instruction omitted the word "control" which is clearly in the statute.

So we really object to use of the word "coordination."

It's just not anywhere that we think is a source of authority.

And this really -- it goes back to some of the prior litigation in this case. Specifically, the motion to dismiss. This Court held, I think -- it was Mr. Shih that was handling this at the time -- but the Court held that both parties know that the government will have to prove that the attempt to provide material support must include that Mr. Shafi attempted to place himself under the direction or control. Direction or control.

And the direction or control language comes from the statute.

Coordination does not. So we have a big problem with the use of the word "coordination."

I think beyond that, I'd be curious to hear what their minor changes are to our proposed instruction. But I think

Your Honor got it right, let's go from the statute and the definition, of what's in the law for the instruction.

THE COURT: So my concern, in addition to

coordination, was that it seems to me that the defendant's proposed instruction is somewhat confusing; more confusing for the jury because it goes from the provision as personnel means, and then it goes to the attempt. And I just -- I think that the government's instruction is not only correct as to the language, but it's a clearer instruction.

So if -- assuming that I overrule your desire to have your own instruction, what are the changes that you'd like to see in the government's?

MR. FAKHOURY: Your Honor -- and I apologize for not showing this to anyone ahead of time. We've been busy.

The modification we would have is -- I mean, I can read it. I'm happy to try to -- I can show it to Mr. Hasib and maybe --

THE COURT: What's the concept you're putting in?

MR. FAKHOURY: Sure. It's essentially some rephrasing of the words. So I can read it into the record just so we can make a record of it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what we would propose would be to have the instruction read -- and, again, just to make clear we're not waiving our objection.

> I understand. THE COURT:

MR. FAKHOURY: Is to have it read: The term "personnel" means one or more persons, which can include the defendant's own person.

Then the next sentence would be, The, and then we would ask to strike out all of the language. So to strike out: Defendant can be convicted for attempting to provide material support or resources in the form of personnel if you find.

So we would ask that that be stricken. And instead add: The government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt. And then continue the instruction. That the defendant -- we would ask, has to be removed -- knowingly attempted to provide. out all that language up through -- until himself. Because there's no allegation that it's anybody other than Mr. Shafi. To work under the al-Nusrah Front's direction or control.

However, the defendant cannot be convicted if he acted -so we would replace "attempted to work" with "acted," entirely independently of the al-Nusrah Front to advance its goals and objectives, or if he merely intended to associate with the al-Nusrah Front or persons connected to the al-Nusrah Front without placing himself under their direction, coordination or control.

And I can show this to -- this is kind of what we were 1 2 thinking. 3 MR. HASIB: Your Honor? It becomes very one-sided instruction at that point. Removing that sentence. 4 defendant can be convicted for attempting to provide material 5 support in the form of personnel if you find that the defendant 6 has knowingly attempted to provide himself. 7 I think that we're ready to agree with. We're not 8 alleging that he sent anyone else to al-Nusrah. So I think we 9 can excise "one or more individuals." But I think the 10 following sentence doesn't make a whole lot of sense to us 11 12 unless you have the preceding sentence in there, and they're asking to remove that preceding sentence. 13 So I would leave it the way it is. But we would concede 14 15 if we want to just limit it to personnel being himself, I think we'd be on board with. 16 17

THE COURT: Well, why don't you do that. Every time I look at what's here the page jumps because the court reporter is changing the transcript. So why don't you get me a copy so that I can actually look at what you've said.

MR. FAKHOURY: Absolutely.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So that I can just be sure about what to do.

MR. FAKHOURY: Sure. And I'm sorry I don't have a copy now, Your Honor. My apologies.

25

THE COURT: So if you can get that. We're going to be 1 2 back here at 2:00 case management conference -- you're not -but you may -- you should be with a copy of whatever it is that 3 4 vou --MR. FAKHOURY: Absolutely. No problem. 5 THE COURT: All right. And then the second --6 Is there anything else that you wanted to say with respect 7 to the material support? 8 MR. FAKHOURY: Your Honor, the only thing I wanted to 9 add is just to respond very briefly to what Mr. Hasib said 10 about coordination. 11 12 You know, coordination comes from the Supreme Court's And just a couple references very quickly. You know, 13 opinion. page 31 and 32 of Humanitarian Law Project says: "The statute 14 reaches only material support coordinated with or under the 15 direction of a designated foreign terrorist organization, 16 17 independent advocacy that might be viewed as promoting the group's legitimacy is not covered." 18 On page 26 of that opinion, the Supreme Court said, 19 "Congress has avoided any restriction on independent advocacy 20 21 or indeed any activities not directed to, coordinated with, or 22 controlled by foreign terrorist groups." 23 So I do think there is a basis for requesting language

about coordination. It's true the instructions that have been

given that Mr. Hasib mentioned don't say that word

But

```
specifically, but it comes from even a greater course which is
 1
 2
     a Supreme Court opinion.
          So that's our only point on that.
 3
              THE COURT: You may not have been in the case, either,
 4
     at the time that I looked at this the first time.
                                                        But I think
 5
     this -- wasn't this argument made to me before? And didn't
 6
     Mr. Hasib accurately state what I wrote?
 7
          And I will go back, but that seems right to me.
 8
              MR. FAKHOURY: Yes, absolutely. My -- yes, I think
 9
     the Court ruled on it in the context of the motion in dismiss
10
     the indictment. And I certainly don't disagree that Mr. Hasib
11
12
     accurately stated what the Court said in that context.
              THE COURT: I'll go back and look at the law again.
13
     But -- I thought I'd gone through at least what I think the law
14
         So -- I appreciate your making the point.
15
              MR. FAKHOURY: Understood.
16
              THE COURT: All right. First Amendment.
17
              MR. FAKHOURY: So, Your Honor, with respect to the
18
     First Amendment issue, we have some real concerns with the
19
     Hassan instruction. And I think I can appreciate that we maybe
20
     -- maybe I muddied the waters a little bit by pointing out our
21
22
     pleadings that Hassan, the case itself, had a First Amendment
     instruction.
23
              THE COURT: You did start me there.
24
```

MR. FAKHOURY: Yes, and I regret it much so now.

just so that it's clear why exactly we even got into <code>Hassan</code> in the first place.

If the Court recalls, in the government's motions in limine, the government moved to preclude us from referencing the First Amendment, and they cited Hassan as authority for its position that the First Amendment had no role to play here and there shouldn't be any reference to it.

We pointed out in our response that <code>Hassan</code> itself, the jury was instructed about the First Amendment generally. And so we just -- I pointed that out to show, Hey, even the case that they're citing doesn't actually stand for the idea that the First Amendment has -- you know, should not even be mentioned or referenced in the jury trial at all.

But the *Hassan* instruction itself -- well, let me say it this way. We are not asking for the *Hassan* instruction. And I think there's some real -- we have real concerns about the *Hassan* instruction. And I'm trying to find it exactly.

THE COURT: Let me -- as you're -- before you launch on the next thing. The one change that I was thinking about making with the Hassan instruction, which may address a little but not everything that you're concerned about, is to strike the last sentence. And instead say: These rights do not include providing material support to an organization which is engaged or engages in terrorist activity or conspiring to do so.

MR. HASIB: Just so I'm clear, this --1 2 THE COURT: This would be instead of the last sentence which says: Having instructed you about the First Amendment, 3 it's a defense, so forget about what I just said. 4 that what I just proposed to you makes more sense in the 5 context of the case. 6 I'm sorry. Can Your Honor repeat the --7 MR. HASIB: These rights do not include 8 THE COURT: Yes. providing material support to an organization which is engaged 9 in, or engages in, terrorist activity or conspiring to do so. 10 MR. HASIB: That sounds good to us. I think it's an 11 12 accurate statement of the law. Yeah, I think we're on board with that. 13 MR. FAKHOURY: Your Honor, so I quess my first comment 14 would be I would absolutely support striking that last 15 sentence. So we're in agreement on that. 16 I think what the concern I had more generally about this 17 instruction was -- there were two things. Number one is the 18 19 title of it: The First Amendment is not a defense to the crime 20 I would suggest that should just be changed to: 21 First Amendment. 22 I think the second issue we have is -- and I think this is 23 what we're really concerned with -- is we're throwing out the

concept of the First Amendment, which absolutely is implicated

in the case, but we're not giving the jury any guidance in

24

terms of how to handle the First Amendment or what role it has to play.

Now, I would certainly agree that the First Amendment does not defend -- is not a defense to the -- to providing material support. And so I don't have an issue with that sentence. I think the question becomes, well, we've introduced the concept of the First Amendment and then we've said it's not a defense to the charge. So then I think we're leaving the jury with sort of like wondering, Well, why are we even talking about the First Amendment, then?

So my suggestion would be that the instruction give the jury guidance on how to assess the First Amendment interests involved in the case.

So, for example -- and we referenced some of the other jury instructions. Some of these instructions like Khan and Mustafa -- or, not Mustafa. Rather, Kabir. Basically, tell the jury, Look, you can consider speech, which is absolutely a central role in this case. Obviously the recordings, the text messages, the Google search queries, the YouTube search queries. So the jury can consider speech as it relates to the elements of the crime. Meaning, they can consider speech made by Mr. Shafi or anyone else as it sheds insight into intent, as it sheds insight into substantial step; but that he cannot be convicted solely on the basis of his speech.

And that there has to be something -- in other words, we

want to tether speech to the permissible grounds under which the jury can consider speech. Meaning, the elements of the crime.

And that's something that the instructions that we've cited do. They, basically, tell the jury, Look, you can consider speech for these purposes, but you cannot consider it in and of itself in isolation.

I think that's -- in our view, it's the accurate statement of the law. And at least if we're going to introduce the First Amendment to the jury as a concept, we should be giving them some guidance on the role it has to play in the case.

So I would suggest that if we can kind of give the jury some guidance on what they can consider the speech -- you know, like the permissible bases for which they can consider speech, and the impermissible bases for which they can consider speech. That, cabined with the jury instructions regarding the specific elements of the crime, would be enough for them to, basically, assess the speech-related parts of this case in the context of the specific crime.

So that's sort of how we envisioned it. Obviously, we've proposed a pretty thorough First Amendment instruction. I'm happy to try again with a different set of instructions. Maybe something narrower if the Court wants. I'm open to whatever the Court wants to consider, and I can provide something else if the Court wants. But that's really our concern.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just being sure the jury understands how they can consider the speech-related evidence in this case and that they're not -- and eliminating any risk that they're solely prosecuting him -- or convicting him, rather -- based on pretty nasty things that they've heard in the recorded phone calls.

To be clear, you gave me four instructions THE COURT: on the First Amendment. Won't you be able to argue from the instruction that is proposed by the government where that says the First Amendment establishes certain rights which accrue to the defendant. The First Amendment provides that it shall make no law respecting the establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise, or bridging freedom of speech, or the right of people to be peaceably assembled. The right of freedom of speech and to engage in peaceful assembly extends to one's politics.

Aren't you going to be able to argue from that everything you need to argue without -- and without, then, confusing anybody about what this is -- what the government's case is about, which is material support?

MR. FAKHOURY: Well, I'm certainly going to try to argue it, Your Honor, but it obviously carries more weight when it comes from the instruction. Not as an advocacy point, but rather as a statement of the law. Because the Court's also going to instruct the jury that it's the Court that determines what's the law and not the lawyers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so what my fear is -- I will certainly try to make those arguments; and I could get objected to as a misstatement of the law, I could get -- in rebuttal the government could say, Well, you know, Mr. Fakhoury talked about the First Amendment, but here's what the jury instruction says. And the jury instruction says it's not an defense to material support. I mean, the lawyers may be able to understand the nuance there, but lay jurors are not going to be able to understand -- no disrespect to them, but this is a pretty nuanced issue we're talking about.

And so I think having instructions that come from the Court and that explains how they can consider speech as it pertains to the elements of the crime is, I think, appropriate. And, again, I'm certainly going to argue it, as the Court anticipated. But it does need to come, I think, from the Court.

Right. And you understand that by THE COURT: striking this last sentence, I take away the statement that it's not a defense to the case. The thing that I saw in the government instruction was that it would be a little confusing to say, Yes, here's the First Amendment, but forget about it because it's the defense.

But I do think that the First Amendment has to be cabined by what this case is about. And that's why I thought my sentence was a good one.

Let me hear from the government.

MS. LaPUNZINA: Your Honor, the government agrees with the Court's proposal. And just to restate our earlier position, the reason why we didn't think a First Amendment instruction was necessary at all is in fact because this case involves attempt to travel. This is not a speech case inciting others to commit violence. Just where the activity is based in the speech.

And so, therefore, having a long, convoluted First

Amendment instruction just further confuses the issue. And the cases that have dealt with travelers, so to speak, have either not had a First Amendment instruction because it was not applicable, or followed the Hassan model.

So we would accept the Court's proposal to the last sentence. To omit the government's proposed last sentence and to replace it with the one the Court proposed.

MR. FAKHOURY: Your Honor, the response I would have to that, briefly, is travel is First Amendment protected activity. So I think that is implicated.

But with respect to the Court's concerns about the First

Amendment being cabined, I don't actually disagree with that.

I think the issue is how do we give guidance to the jury about how they cabin it? In other words, the instruction that's being proposed has a lot -- has an assumption that the jury will understand the permissible bases for which they can

consider speech and the impermissible basis for which they can consider speech.

And I think we need to give them a little bit more to work with so that they can fully understand how the First Amendment comes into play and how the First Amendment is cabined. So, again, I agree the First Amendment doesn't include providing material support; but kind of the flip side of that is the First Amendment protects freedom of speech even when that speech is nasty or offensive or disgusting.

So the need is to make sure the jury understands they can't convict him solely on the basis of speech, but they can consider speech to determine whether he's provided material support. And if they've determined he's provided material support as laid out in the jury instructions defining material support and attempt, then they can -- you know, they can convict him on that basis regardless of his First Amendment rights.

THE COURT: I think when the instructions are read together, that's exactly what happens. So I think I'm going to overrule your objection. I will look one more time at some of the sentences that you have. I thought what was provided was confusing and not helpful and made this entire case a different case than the one that's being tried. But I'll look one more time.

MR. FAKHOURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And then the final -- so did the government have any things that they wanted to raise on the jury instructions? MS. LaPUNZINA: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then the verdict forms. You had two different perspectives on the verdict forms. MS. LaPUNZINA: Your Honor, actually, if I could go back to the foreign terrorist organization. At the time that we submitted the joint proposed jury instructions, we did not have a stipulation at that time. So we'll meet with Mr. Matthews and Mr. Fakhoury to discuss. We might just add a sentence to that one since there has been a stipulation to it. I think we left it open in the jury instructions. MR. FAKHOURY: That's fine. THE COURT: Okay. And then with respect to the verdict form. MR. FAKHOURY: Yes, Your Honor. You know, as the Court's aware, we've asked for a special verdict form. And I think in our view there's been -- well, the case involves two trips and two terrorist organizations, or testimony about two terrorist organizations, but Mr. Shafi is only on trial for one trip and one terrorist organization. And so in order to avoid potential for confusion, we

suggested the special verdict form which makes it more clear

that we're talking about June 2015 al-Nusrah Front.

1 that's why we wanted to ask the Court to consider a special 2 verdict form. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And what was the government's 4 perspective? Your Honor, the -- I hear what the defense 5 MR. HASIB: is saying about the 2014 and 2015 issue. I think Your Honor 6 has sufficiently addressed that with the jury with the limiting 7 instruction. I think you've given at least once, and maybe 8 twice. I don't recall. 9 On top of that, there is, albeit it's an unpublished case, 10 but it's a Ninth Circuit, United States versus Four Star, 75 11 12 Fed -- I never know what that is -- appx. That means that it's appendix. Not 13 THE COURT: published. 14 That's why. I don't cite very often to 15 MR. HASIB: unpublished cases. 16 But 75 Fed Appendix 603. It's Ninth Circuit case from 17 2003 which finds that special verdicts are not necessary where 18 19 there's only one count charged, and the jury's instructed to be 20 unanimous on the substantial step. I think that's pretty close 21 to the situation that we have here. 22 You know, doing this in multiple phases for a one-count 23 indictment just doesn't make sense. The defense is essentially asking them, Let's have a special verdict as to each element of 24

the offense. That's not typically necessary unless for some

reason there's a particularly complicated -- if it's a RICO case or something like that I could see that happening. not for this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the specific issue that you're THE COURT: concerned about, Mr. Fakhoury, isn't it taken care of by the attempt instruction which says: First, beginning no later than June 2015 through on or about June 30, 2015, the defendant intended to commit?

The reason I don't like the special verdict form is that I think it would focus the jury just on that form instead of all of the instructions. And I think the instructions are quite clear about what is at issue in this case. And I know that you and Mr. Matthews will be quite clear about that. And I think I've been clear during the course of the case about it. So I'm not worried that they're going to be deciding about the 2014 trip.

MR. FAKHOURY: Your Honor, I quess, again, our fear is that there's a risk that the jury will consider -- well, our concern is that the jury will essentially have the details of 2014 mixed up with the details of 2015. And that they will have all the testimony they've heard about ISIS with the -with Special Agent Reinke and with Dr. Vidino, and Dr. Sageman, even, to a lesser extent so far.

That there will be a spillover of the testimony regarding ISIS into testimony regarding al-Nusrah. And that there's a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

temptation to just say, Well, you know, he did it in 2014 and he did it in 2015, and there was ISIS searches and al-Nusrah

searches, and that's good enough for us.

I understand we can never -- it's always -- we don't know what happens back there and we can't avoid every potential problem, but we can try to anticipate them. And so this is, I think, one way to anticipate it.

I don't agree -- well, I don't know if they would focus on the verdict form rather than the total instructions. Obviously, the verdict form speaks in the language of the elements of the crime and that would necessarily require them to refer back to the jury instructions. And I have hope, and I'm optimistic, that the jury will take its -- that it will take its responsibility serious and review all of the instructions. And they're going to hear the instructions in open court and --

THE COURT: And you may well show this one, among others.

MR. FAKHOURY: Absolutely. I think that's exactly right. So, again, the special verdict form is just a way to help guide them through what are some pretty complicated issues in the case. I understand it's a single count. It's sort of an unusual request in a single count. This is also somewhat of a different case. I mean, it is a complex case. It's a very sensitive topic. And so in our view that's a way to kind of

address some of the concerns that we have.

I would add if -- on the attempt instruction itself, the government's proposed attempt instruction, I missed this but I would ask that on -- and this is page 49, or ECF page 54, that in the section that says "first" --

THE COURT: Yeah. The name. The al-Nusrah Front.

MR. FAKHOURY: Yes. Exactly.

THE COURT: I had written that in on my paper and forgot to mention that. But, yeah, after "terrorist organization" there should be a comma, and it should say, "namely, al-Nusrah Front."

MR. FAKHOURY: And in any event, so that's our view.

And obviously, we've made a record and so --

THE COURT: So I'm inclined to go with the government's verdict form. I think it's -- I don't think either one of them is particularly complex, so I'm not worried about the special verdict -- the reasons why sometimes special verdict forms are necessary. But I do think it just calls -- I think the issues that the defendant's concerned about are well taken care of in the instructions. And so I think the more simple the better.

MR. FAKHOURY: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So this is what I'd like. I would like -- because you may have noticed I've been holding the door for the jury, I don't have my law clerk here today who

I would otherwise ask to prepare the closing instructions.

I believe I've given you specific guidance on all of the instructions. If the government would prepare a draft and put it on ECF so the defense can look at it and I could look at it. And if you could also send me, through Ms. Davis, a Word version of that so that I can make changes.

To the defendant's concern about the title of the instructions, I don't -- I guess it's helpful to have the title as they're going through there. Often I take the titles off and just have them numbered in a way that I can follow them.

But if there's a concern about the --

MR. FAKHOURY: The only title we had a concern with was the First Amendment one. Which if the Court's going to strike that last language, then would need to be changed. If the Court doesn't want to put titles, that's fine with us. Whatever the Court's preference is.

MR. HASIB: How about just call it the First Amendment.

THE COURT: Yeah, why don't we call it the First

Amendment. If someone looks at the titles and says, Gee, we should get rid of them all -- let's keep them. I think they're probably a helpful guide for the jury.

All right. Is there anything else that we ought to do now?

MS. LaPUNZINA: One moment, Your Honor, please.

THE COURT: Yeah. But that -- if I was suggesting that, then that would be confusing.

22

23

24

25

MS. LaPUNZINA: So then to an organization which is engaged in?

> Yeah. I think -- I don't know where I THE COURT:

```
1
     came up with the bright idea of "or conspiring to do so."
 2
     I'm not sure it's necessary in that sentence.
              MR. FAKHOURY: I would add that if we cabin it to an
 3
     organization engaged in terrorism or terrorist activity,
 4
     there's a specific instruction defining those terms. So that
 5
     at least ties it back to the earlier instruction with the
 6
     statutory definitions. And that instruction doesn't reference
 7
     conspiracy or conspiring.
 8
              THE COURT: Yeah. I don't think we need to include
 9
     that.
10
             MR. HASIB: All right. Nothing further from the
11
12
     government, Your Honor.
              MR. MATTHEWS: So that would be an 8:00 start?
13
              THE COURT: Well, Mr. Matthews --
14
             MR. MATTHEWS: I don't know why I'm so consumed with
15
     that.
16
              THE COURT: So here's what I'm thinking. I'm thinking
17
     that at 8:00 Dr. SagSageman is cross-examination will start.
18
19
     And when that's done, we'll take a half hour break so everybody
20
     can adjust, and then go into the closings.
21
          So the only reason to come early -- and I think there
22
     probably is good reason to come early -- I'm sorry -- is to
```

make sure that the jury instructions are right.

MR. MATTHEWS: No. Just talking about presence in the

23

24

25

courtroom and --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I think that just the way that trial's work, there's going to be something that somebody wants me to look at one more time or that I've forgotten. MR. MATTHEWS: It will probably be us. THE COURT: Might be you. Might be me. But let's do it at 7:30. MR. MATTHEWS: Very well. MR. FAKHOURY: That's fine, Your Honor. Then I had one last question which is: So assuming we finish closing arguments -- I think pretty clearly we're going to finish closing arguments tomorrow -- is the jury going to deliberate until 1:00 p.m.? Are they going to start deliberating until they're done? Is the Court going to keep the 8 to 1 schedule? I'm just kind of curious how that's going to work. I always tell the jury that it's their THE COURT: decision how long they deliberate. I think there's -- I know that there's one juror -- oh, you know, the other thing we need to do -- there's one juror who needs to leave at 2 for work. I don't know whether that's going to be an ongoing Or has. issue or not. So I'm going to let them do what they want to do. If they're still deliberating on Friday, I'm going to need to leave at 2 so I would make them stop at that point. But

But the one thing that we need to do tomorrow -- and I hope you will remind me -- at the close of the evidence just

otherwise I'll leave it to them.

```
1
     before we do the half hour break we should just double check
 2
     back with the juror who was concerned about the issue that we
     discussed earlier. So we'll do that right at the end.
 3
 4
              MR. MATTHEWS: And when would the Court want argument
     on the Rule 29 motion?
 5
              THE COURT: Well, I would be happy to hear something
 6
     now if you wanted to do it. If you -- I am deeming that it's
 7
     made. And what I have done is have people propose a schedule
 8
     for me to look at it.
 9
              MR. FAKHOURY: That would be our preference.
10
                          I'm so surprised.
11
              THE COURT:
12
         All right. So that's how we'll deal with the Rule 29.
              MR. FAKHOURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
             MS. LaPUNZINA: Your Honor, one scheduling question.
14
     We'll work on the Word document for Your Honor. We'll share it
15
     with Mr. Matthews and Mr. Fakhoury so that we don't have to
16
     keep going back and forth.
17
          Is there a deadline by which time you would like to get it
18
19
     from us today?
20
              THE COURT: I would like to have a Word -- I would
21
     like to see what you're working on. So you can send the first
22
     draft to Ms. Davis. And then if the final one can be posted
23
     and I can look at it first thing in the morning.
```

MS. LaPUNZINA: All right. Thank you.

MR. HASIB: It's possible -- Ms. LaPunzina and I

24

```
1
     haven't had a chance to put our heads together -- it's possible
 2
     we may call a rebuttal witness. If we did, I think it would be
     quick. I don't think it upsets the scheduling with closing
 3
 4
     arguments or anything like that. But just wanted to give
 5
     everybody a heads up.
 6
              THE COURT: You have 25 minutes to tell the defendant
 7
     who that might be.
 8
              MR. HASIB:
                         Will do.
 9
              THE COURT:
                         Thank you very much, everybody.
10
          (Court adjourned at 1:33 p.m.)
11
                                 ---000---
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Tuesday, October 16th, 2018 DATE: blowas .W /s/Vicki Eastvold Vicki Eastvold, RMR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter